

APPENDIX - List of RCC Cases (2005-2024)

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
1	2005	Petition to the IACHR Seeking Relief from Violations Resulting from Global Warming Caused By Acts and Omissions of the United States	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	Dismissed	Inter-American Commission of Human Rights (IACHR)	Seeking relief from human rights violations resulting from global warming caused by acts and omissions of the U.S. Based on the rights to traditionally occupied land, life, physical integrity and security, culture, property, health, their own means of sustenance, residence and movement, and inviolability of the home.
2	2005	Gbemre v. Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria	Nigeria	Granted	Federal High Court of Nigeria	Challenging the practice by the Nigerian government and Shell Oil of gas flaring in the Niger Delta. Based on the rights to life and dignity of human persons, health, healthy environment, and environment favorable to their development.
3	2005	Marangopoulos Foundation for Human Rights v. Greece	European Committee of Social Rights	Granted	European Committee of Social Rights	Alleging that Greece failed to comply with provisions of the human rights guaranteed by the European Social Charter, including the right to just work conditions and the right to safe and healthy working conditions, by failing to adequately consider, inter alia, the environmental impacts associated with operation of certain coal mines and coal-fired power plants, including climate impacts.
4	2005	Centre for Oil Pollution Watch v. Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC)	Nigeria	Decided	Supreme Court of Nigeria	Alleging that the defendant's negligence caused an oil spill which contaminated two local water sources, harmed the environment, and infringed upon the constitutional and human rights of a local indigenous community.
5	2005	In re Arcelor España, S.A., Judgment No. 5087/2009	Spain	Dismissed	Supreme Court of Spain, Administrative Litigation Division	Challenging the government's emissions credit allocation, arguing it violated EU and Spanish law principles, including the right to property, and unfairly targeted the steel industry over competing sectors.
6	2007	A. Philip Randolph Institute (SF Chapter) v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	United States	Dismissed	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California	Seeking an order requiring the EPA to comply with the ruling of Massachusetts v. EPA by determining whether carbon dioxide causes or contributes to harmful air pollution and challenging the Bay Area Air Quality Management District for issuing construction permits for two natural gas power plants as violations of state and federal administrative and environmental law as well as procedural due process rights.
7	2007	Greenpeace v. Secretary of State for Trade and Industry	United Kingdom	Granted	High Court of Justice	Alleging that the public consultation process conducted by the government while reviewing its nuclear power policy was flawed, including in relation to rights guaranteed under the Aarhus Convention and climate considerations.
8	2007	Re Xstrata Coal Queensland Pty. Ltd. & Others	Australia	Dismissed	Land and Resources Tribunal Queensland	Arguing that a government grant to a proposed coal mining project be made conditional upon the project's offsetting or reduction of its greenhouse gas emissions, given the project's alleged impacts on the environment, public rights and interest, and ecologically sustainable development.
9	2008	Sunflower Electric Power Corporation v. Sebelius	United States	Dismissed	U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas	Challenging the Kansas government's decision to deny the plaintiff the air quality permit required for the construction of new coal-fired electricity units on the basis that the decision violates the dormant Commerce Clause and the equal protection clause of the U.S. Constitution. The state government had denied the permit on the basis that the new coal-fired energy would contribute to global warming.
10	2009	0907346 [2009] RRTA 1168	Australia	Dismissed	Refugee Review Tribunal	Seeking refugee protection in Australia on the basis that he faces substantial risks due to climate change in his home country of Kiribati.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
11	2009	People and Planet v. HM Treasury	United Kingdom	Dismissed	High Court of Justice	Challenging the adoption of a policy by the UK Treasury on the basis that it does not use its investment in the Royal Bank of Scotland to advance or require changes to RBS's commercial lending practices such that RBS does not support businesses or ventures that are insufficiently respectful of human rights or harmful to the environment by virtue of their carbon emissions.
12	2009	Roy "Dootch" Kennedy on behalf of Sandon Point Aboriginal Tent Embassy v. New South Whales Minister for Planning	Australia	Dismissed	New South Wales Land and Environment Court	Challenging the Minister's approval of a construction proposal on the grounds that, inter alia, planning and impact assessments had failed to adequately consider climate impacts and the rights of, and impacts on, indigenous communities.
13	2010	Pro Public v. Godavari Marble Industries Pvt. Ltd.	Nepal	Granted	Supreme Court of Nepal	Seeking to void a government permit for a marble mine in the Godavari hills outside Kathmandu, as the mine was inconsistent with Nepalese environmental law and the constitutional rights to live with dignity and in a healthy environment. In considering the mine's environmental impact, the court noted the mine's contribution to climate change.
14	2010	Global Legal Action on Climate Change v. Climate Change Commission	Philippines	Granted	Supreme Court of the Philippines	Alleging that various government agencies' failure to fully comply with two statutes on flood control puts Filipinos at risk of dangers from flooding, which is expected to worsen as climate change becomes more severe, and infringes on their right to environmental protection.
15	2011	Alec L. v. McCarthy	United States	Dismissed	U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit; United States District of Columbia District Court	Alleging violations of the public trust by the government through its actions exacerbating climate change and, on appeal, alleging constitutional
16	2011	Advisory Opinion in Case No. 0034-11-TI	Ecuador	N/A	Constitutional Court	Examining whether the Agreement of Cooperation on Climate Change, Conservation of Biodiversity, and Environmental Development signed by Ecuador and Peru is consistent with the Ecuadorian Constitution, including certain constitutional rights like the right to a healthy environment.
17	2011	Barhaugh v. Montana	United States	Dismissed	Montana Supreme Court	Seeking a declaration that the state must hold the atmosphere in trust for the present and future citizens of Montana and that it must take steps to protect and preserve the atmosphere by enforcing limits on greenhouse gas emissions under the "Clean and Healthful Environment" guarantee of Article II, Sec. 3 of the Montana Constitution.
18	2011	Aronow v. Minnesota	United States	Dismissed	Minnesota Court of Appeals; Minnesota District Court	Challenging the failure of the state of Minnesota, Governor Mark Dayton, and the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency to carry out their duties under the Public Trust Doctrine and MERA to adequately reduce Minnesota's greenhouse gas output and thus preserve the atmosphere for the benefit and protection of present and future generations of Minnesotans.
19	2011	Municipality of Ibagué v. Ministry of Environment & Sustainable Development ['Combeima River Case']	Colombia	Granted	Council of State; Administrative Tribunal	Alleging that the authorization and conduct of mining activities around the Combeima and Cocora rivers degraded sensitive ecosystems and violated the constitutional right to a healthy environment.
20	2011	Industrie du bois de Vielsalm & Cie (IBV) SA v. Région wallonne	European Union	Decided	European Court of Justice	Challenging the regional government's exclusion of biomass from its definition of 'wood' and consequent decision to reject the plaintiff's application for green certificates under the EU's cogeneration support mechanism, arguing the decision was inconsistent with European Union Directive 2004/8/EC, which promoted high-efficiency cogeneration, and in violation of the plaintiff's rights to equality and non-discrimination under the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights.
21	2011	Air Transport Association of America v. Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change	European Union	Dismissed	European Union Court of Justice	Challenging the EU's Emissions Trading System on the grounds that the EU had no right under international law to impose emission requirements on all airlines operating in its territory.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
22	2012	In the Matter of an Application by Brian Quinn and Michael Quinn	United Kingdom	Granted	High Court of Justice in Northern Ireland	Challenging the decision by the Commissioner of the Planning Appeals Commission to refuse to grant authorization to the plaintiffs to develop a wind farm on their land, on the basis that, among other things, the Commissioner's decision breached their right to a fair hearing and failed to account for the environmental and social benefits of renewable energy development, including the reduction of GHG emissions.
23	2012	Mbabazi v. Attorney General and National Environmental Management Authority	Uganda	Pending	High Court of Uganda Holden	Alleging that the government is violating its constitutional duties by not addressing climate change and enforcing international climate treaties. Based on the public trust doctrine and constitutional rights and freedoms, including the right to a clean and healthy environment.
24	2012	Funk v. Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection	United States	Dismissed	Pennsylvania Commonwealth Court	Challenging the state environmental agency's rejection of the plaintiff's petition for rulemaking to establish rules to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, arguing that the rejection was unfounded as the state has the legal authority under the Constitution to issue these regulations, citing in particular state citizens' constitutional right to clean air and water.
25	2012	Ramchandra Simkhanda et. al v. Nepal Government, Office of the Prime Minister and Council of Ministers	Nepal	Decided	Supreme Court of Nepal	Challenging the government's plan to build a highway through Chitwan National Park, a UNESCO World Heritage Site, on the grounds that construction could harm biodiversity, jeopardize the park's heritage status, and infringe the constitutional right to a healthy environment.
26	2013	Court on Its Own Motion v. State of Himachal Pradesh	India	Granted	National Green Tribunal	Alleging that the emission of black carbon in the ecologically sensitive region of Rohtang Pass drives the melting of glaciers and causes other effects that impermissibly infringe on Indian citizens' constitutional rights.
27	2013	Petition to the IACHR Seeking Relief from Violations of the Rights of Arctic Athabaskan Peoples Resulting from Rapid Arctic Warming and Melting Caused by Emissions of Black Carbon by Canada	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	Pending	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	Challenging Canada's failure to implement measures to reduce black carbon emissions as violations of the Athabaskan people's human rights as a result of the arctic warming produced from black carbon emissions. Based on the rights to enjoy the benefits of culture, to property, to the preservation of health, and to their own means of subsistence.
28	2013	Brazilian Association of Thermoelectric Generators (ABRAGET) v. Rio de Janeiro	Brazil	Dismissed	Federal Supreme Court; State Court for Rio de Janeiro, 15th Civil Chamber; District Court	An industry association challenges a government decree establishing the Energy Compensation Mechanism -- which was established as part of the effort to reduce greenhouse gas emissions -- on the basis that the government doesn't have the constitutional authority to pass the regulation, that it would impose impermissibly high costs and including on the generation of electricity.
29	2013	Urgenda Foundation v. Netherlands	Netherlands	Granted	Hague District Court; Hague Court of Appeal (Civil Law Division); Supreme Court of the Netherlands (Hoge Road)	Seeking a declaratory judgment and an injunction to compel the Dutch government to do more to reduce GHG emissions. Alleged violations of the rights to life and to private and family life.
30	2013	XX v. YY	New Zealand	Dismissed	Immigration & Protection Tribunal	Seeking asylum based on environmental degradation from sea-level rise on his home island of Kiribati, arguing that deportation would deprive him of the right to life.
31	2013	Hindustan Zinc Ltd. v. Rajasthan Electricity Regulatory Commission	India	Decided	Supreme Court of India	Arguing that a policy requiring power generation corporations to purchase a certain amount of energy from renewable sources was invalid in virtue of its contravening domestic environmental law and its violating plaintiffs' fundamental rights to equality before the law and to practice one's profession.
32	2013	Public Prosecutor's Office of the State of Rio de Janeiro v. Municipality of Niterói	Brazil	Decided	Federal Supreme Court	Arguing that domestic laws, including the constitutionally protected rights to life, to an ecologically balanced environment, and to sustainable cities, required a municipality to carry out an impact study before approving the construction of large and commercial buildings
33	2013	Miersch/Maxeiner v. Germany	Germany	Dismissed	Administrative Court of Halle	Challenging the German Environment Agency's description in a published brochure of two journalists as "climate change skeptics" on the basis that the description was in violation of the journalists' personality and privacy rights.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
34	2013	Lone Pine v. Canada	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes	Dismissed	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes	Alleging breaches of the North American Free Trade Agreement and asserting that the Quebec Government's revocation of the plaintiff's oil and gas exploration permits, linked to a moratorium on fracking, was arbitrary, capricious, and illegal.
35	2014	In re: AD (Tuvalu)	New Zealand	Granted	Immigration & Protection Tribunal	Seeking resident visas for a family displaced from Tuvalu, based on the rights to family unity; life; be free of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment; water; and asylum.
36	2014	Kain v. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection	United States	Dismissed	Massachusetts Supreme Court; Massachusetts Superior Court	Challenging the state environmental agency's refusal to issue binding greenhouse gas emission reduction regulations and targets, arguing that it is inconsistent with the state's environmental law as well as the fundamental right to clean air.
37	2014	Ioane Teitiota v. The Chief Executive of the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment	New Zealand	Dismissed	Supreme Court of New Zealand	Arguing that New Zealand's denial of refugee status to an individual displaced by climate change impacts violated the plaintiff's right to life.
38	2015	Teitiota v. New Zealand	UN Human Rights Committee	Dismissed	UN Human Rights Committee; New Zealand Supreme Court; New Zealand High Court; New Zealand Court of Appeal; New Zealand Immigration and Protection Tribunal	Arguing that New Zealand's denial of refugee status to a displaced individual from Kiribati violated international human rights law, based on the right to life and the risk the plaintiff faced of the arbitrary deprivation of life.
39	2015	Leghari v. Pakistan	Pakistan	Granted	Lahore High Court	Challenging the Pakistani government for their failure to carry out the core provisions of the 2012 climate law, based on rights to life, dignity, water, to a healthy environment, and the principle of intergenerational equity.
40	2015	VZW Klimaatzaak v. Belgium	Belgium	Pending	Brussels Court of Appeal; Brussels Court of First Instance	Requesting that federal and regional governments reduce greenhouse gas emissions, based on the rights to life and private and family life and the principle of intergenerational justice.
41	2015	Juliana v. United States	United States	Pending	Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon (Eugene Division)	Asserting that the federal government violated the constitutional rights of youth citizens by causing dangerous carbon dioxide concentrations, based on the rights to life, liberty, and property, and equal protection.
42	2015	Carbon Majors Inquiry	Philippines	Granted	Commission on Human Rights	Asserting that 'carbon majors' are responsible for climate-induced violations of the rights to life, food, health, water, sanitation, adequate housing, and self-determination.
43	2015	Portland Pipeline Corp. v. South Portland	United States	Dismissed	Court of Appeals for the First Circuit; U.S. District Court of Maine	Challenging the city of South Portland's local ordinance prohibiting loading crude oil onto tankers and the construction of new structures for that purpose as a violation of the dormant Commerce Clause and Foreign Commerce Clause of the U.S. Constitution as well as the pipeline operator's civil and constitutional rights.
44	2015	Castilla Salazar v. Colombia [Decision C-035/16]	Colombia	Granted	Constitutional Court of Colombia	Challenging the constitutionality of certain laws establishing provisions of Colombia's National Development Plan, on the basis that they threatened the health of the páramos (high altitude ecosystems) and infringed on constitutional rights, including the right to a healthy environment.
45	2015	Center for Social Justice Studies v. President [Atrato River Case]	Colombia	Granted	Constitutional Court of Colombia	Alleging that the damage done to the Atrato River and its surrounding ecosystems by intensive mining and illegal logging violates the petitioners' fundamental rights to life, health, water, food security, a healthy environment, and culture and territory and asking the Court to issue orders detailing structural solutions to redress the situation.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
46	2015	Community Mothers of San Anterito v. Municipal Mayor's Office of Monteria ['Decision T-218/17']	Colombia	Granted	Constitutional Court of Colombia	Alleging that the failure of the defendant state and municipal government agencies as well as water providers to provide adequate water infrastructure violates the plaintiffs' constitutional rights, including those to life, water, health, and dignity.
47	2015	Pérez Pushaina v. Ministry of the Interior ['Sentencia SU698/17']	Colombia	Granted	Constitutional Court of Colombia	Alleging that the authorization and implementation of coal mining activities in the Bruno River region has been impermissibly undertaken without adequately consulting with Indigenous communities and violates the Indigenous communities' fundamental rights, including rights to water, to food security, equality, and sovereignty.
48	2015	Eskosol v. Italy	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes	Dismissed	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes	Arguing that two changes to Italy's renewable energy incentive scheme violated fair and equal treatment provisions under the Energy Charter Treaty, infringed the plaintiff's land and contract rights, and made the plaintiff's photovoltaic project unviable.
49	2015	Decision C-298/16	Colombia	Decided	Constitutional Court	Challenging the constitutionality of laws allowing mining activities in sensitive páramo ecosystems and granting the mining authority indefinite power to designate strategic areas for exploitation, on the grounds that páramos are crucial for climate change mitigation and water supply and that allowing mining in these areas would violate the plaintiffs' right to a healthy environment, harm Colombia's environmental protection obligations, and violate constitutional and international commitments under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change.
50	2015	Decision SU-698/17	Colombia	Decided	Constitutional Court	Arguing that the diversion of the Bruno River to conduct mining activities violated the plaintiffs' fundamental rights to water, health, and food sovereignty.
51	2016	Greenpeace Nordic v. Norway (Formerly: Greenpeace Nordic Ass'n v. Ministry of Petroleum and Energy)	European Court of Human Rights	Pending	European Court of Human Rights; Supreme Court of Norway; Borgarting Court of Appeal; Oslo District Court	Challenging the constitutionality of the Norwegian government's decision to license new blocks of the Barents Sea for deep-sea oil and gas extraction. Based on the rights to life, private and family life, health, an environment that is conducive to health and to a natural environment whose productivity and diversity are maintained, and the no harm principle.
52	2016	KlimaSeniorinnen v. Switzerland (Formerly: Verein KlimaSeniorinnen Schweiz v. Bundesrat)	European Court of Human Rights	Pending	European Court of Human Rights; Federal Supreme Court of Switzerland; Federal Administrative Court of Switzerland	Challenging the adequacy of the government's climate change mitigation targets and implementation measures and possible infringement on human rights. Based on the rights to life and private and family life.
53	2016	Ali v. Pakistan	Pakistan	Pending	Supreme Court of Pakistan	Challenging various actions and inactions by the federal and provincial government, including plans to develop the Thar Coalfield. Based on the rights to life, dignity, property, equality, and the principles of sustainable development and intergenerational equality.
54	2016	PUSH v. Sweden	Sweden	Dismissed	Stockholm District Court	Challenging the sale of coal-fired plants in Germany by the Swedish state-owned energy firm, allegedly in violation of the government's duty of care and the plaintiffs' rights to life, health, private and family life, and a non-harmful climate. (Plants were sold to a Czech firm with poor climate record).
55	2016	EarthLife Africa Johannesburg v. Minister of Environmental Affairs	South Africa	Granted	High Court of South Africa (Gauteng Division)	Challenging the government's failure to adequately consider climate change-related impacts in the development of a coal-fired power plant, based on the right to a healthy environment.
56	2016	Advisory Opinion OC-(23/17)	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	N/A	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	In an advisory opinion, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights recognized the right to a healthy environment as a human right, based on the rights to life and personal integrity.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
57	2016	First Parish in Bedford, Unitarian Universalist v. Historic District Commission	United States	Granted	Massachusetts Appellate Court; Massachusetts Superior Court	Challenging the Bedford's Historic District Commission's decision to deny the plaintiff association's application of appropriateness to install solar panels on the roof of its Meetinghouse, on the basis that the decision was unreasonable / arbitrary and capricious and violated the plaintiffs' rights to exercise their religious beliefs under the Mass. Declaration of Rights and the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.
58	2016	Save Lamu v. National Environmental Management Authority	Kenya	Granted	National Environmental Tribunal at Nairobi	Challenging the National Environmental Management Authority's decision to issue an Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) license to a company (Amu Power) heading the construction of a 900 – 1000 MW coal fired power plant in Lamu County on the basis that the decision, among other things, violated administrative law; will generate climate, biodiversity, and health impacts; and failed to include adequate pollution mitigation measures.
59	2016	Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Healey	United States	Dismissed	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York	The plaintiff brought suit against the Attorney General of Massachusetts, seeking an injunction to bar the enforcement of a civil investigative demand and a declaration that the demand violates the plaintiff's rights under state and federal law, including its rights to free speech and due process. The underlying investigation is into whether Exxon engaged in deceptive practices / mislead consumers / investors as to the role fossil fuels play in driving climate change and the risks of climate change to Exxon's business.
60	2016	Lagos González v. Minister of Mines & Energy	Colombia	Dismissed	Council of State	Alleging that regulations permitting and governing hydraulic fracturing ("fracking") are unconstitutional in light of the socioenvironmental harms generated by fracking.
61	2016	Eco Oro Minerals Corp. v. Republic of Colombia	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes	Decided	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes	Claiming unlawful and indirect expropriation, asserting that government measures to protect a páramo ecosystem deprived the plaintiff of its contractual mining rights, and arguing that Colombia violated its obligations under the Free Trade Agreement (FTA) by failing to provide fair and equitable treatment, full protection, and security, and by expropriating the plaintiff's investment without compensation.
62	2017	Sagoonick v. Alaska	United States	Dismissed	Alaska Supreme Court; Alaska Superior Court	Asserting that the Alaska state government violated the constitutional rights of youth citizens by enacting energy policies that allow substantial greenhouse gas emissions and lead to dangerous carbon dioxide concentrations, based on the public trust doctrine, the rights to life, liberty, and property, and equal protection.
63	2017	Friends of the Irish Environment v. Ireland	Ireland	Granted	Supreme Court of Ireland; Court of Appeal of Ireland; High Court of Ireland	Alleging Ireland's National Mitigation Plan is in violation of international and national law because it is not designed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions sufficiently in the near-term. Based on the rights to life, liberty and security, integrity of the person, respect for family and private life, property, and the rights of the child, the rights of the elderly, equality between men and women, environmental protection, and the principles of intergenerational solidarity and vigilant and effective protection of the environment.
64	2017	Pandey v. India	India	Dismissed	National Green Tribunal	Challenging the failure of the Indian government to take greater action to mitigate climate change by implementing its environmental laws and satisfying its obligations under the Paris Agreement, given the particularly adverse impact of nonaction on children and future generations. Based on violation of children's rights to life and a healthy environment.
65	2017	Shrestha v. Prime Minister	Nepal	Granted	Supreme Court, Division Bench	Alleging that the government's failure to take sufficient action to mitigate and adapt to climate change (including through the failure to adopt a specific climate change law) violated the Nepalese Constitution, domestic environmental law, and international law.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
66	2017	Friends of the Irish Environment CLG v. Fingal County Council	Ireland	Dismissed	High Court of Ireland	Alleging that the government's decision to authorize the expansion of the Dublin Airport was inconsistent with the government's climate obligations and violated rights guaranteed under the EU Charter of Fundamental Freedoms and the Aarhus Convention.
67	2017	FOMEA v. MSU S.A.	Argentina	Pending	Federal Court of San Nicolás	Alleging that the construction and operation of a thermoelectric plant violates international climate law, international human rights law, the Argentina Constitution, and domestic environmental law.
68	2017	Carballo v. MSU S.A.	Argentina	Pending	Federal Court of Azul City	Alleging that the construction and operation of a thermoelectric plant violates international climate law, international human rights law, the Argentina Constitution, and domestic environmental law.
69	2017	Hahn v. APR Energy S.R.L.	Argentina	Pending	Federal Court of Compañía	Challenging the construction of the Matheu II thermoelectric power plant on the basis that the defendant company failed to properly comply with applicable environmental law (including carrying out a proper Environmental Impact Assessment) and that the plant itself would harm the health of nearby residents and infringe upon the right to a healthy and balanced environment.
70	2017	Segovia v. Climate Change Commission	Philippines	Dismissed	Supreme Court of the Philippines	Asking the Court to compel the implementation of various environmental laws and regulations and require the government respondents to take various actions to make roads more accessible for bike and pedestrian use. Alleging that the government's failure to fully implement these laws and regulations and take these types of actions prejudices the life, health, and property of all Filipinos and violates the right to a balanced and healthful ecology.
71	2017	Plan B Earth v. Secretary of State for Business, Energy, and Industrial Strategy	United Kingdom	Dismissed	High Court of Justice Administrative Court; Court of Appeal Civil Division	Challenging the Secretary of State's failure to revise the U.K.'s 2050 carbon emissions reduction target in light of the U.K.'s international obligations under the Paris Agreement and the international scientific consensus on climate change.
72	2017	In re Vienna-Schwechat Airport Expansion	Austria	Dismissed	Austrian Constitutional Court; Federal Administrative Court	Challenging the government's approval of the construction of a third runway at Vienna's main airport, based on rights to environmental protection.
73	2017	Clean Air Council v. United States	United States	Dismissed	U.S. District Court for the District of Eastern Pennsylvania	Alleging that the U.S. federal government's rollback of regulations meant to address and minimize the United States' contribution to climate change affirmatively increases the U.S. contribution to climate change and its effects, endangering the lives and welfare of U.S. citizens in violation of their constitutional rights, including the plaintiffs' right to a life-sustaining climate system.
74	2017	Future Generations v. Ministry of the Environment	Colombia	Granted	Supreme Court	Seeking to enforce the fundamental right to a healthy environment in the face of threats from climate change and deforestation. Based on the rights to life and human dignity, health, food, water, and the enjoyment of healthy environment.
75	2017	Sendai Citizens v. Sendai Power Station	Japan	Decided	Sendai High Court	Requesting an injunction to halt the defendant from operating a coal-fired power plant on the grounds that its operation violated the plaintiffs' personal rights to life, health, bodily integrity, and the right to maintain biodiversity based on the plant's prospective contributions to climate change.
76	2018	Reynolds v. State of Florida	United States	Dismissed	Florida District Court of Appeals; Florida Circuit Court	Asserting that the Florida state government violated the constitutional rights of youth citizens by enacting energy policies that allow substantial greenhouse gas emissions and lead to dangerous carbon dioxide concentrations, based on the public trust doctrine, the rights to life, liberty, and property, and equal protection.
77	2018	Animal Legal Defense Fund v. United States	United States	Pending	Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon	Arguing that there is a constitutional right to wilderness and that the U.S. government has violated this right through their actions and inactions contributing to climate change.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
78	2018	Willmeng v. Thorton	United States	Granted	U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado	Alleging that the city of Thornton, Colorado violated the plaintiffs' First Amendment rights to speech and to petition the government when the mayor pro tem removed the plaintiffs' comments critical of hydraulic fracking from his official Facebook page and blocked them from further commenting.
79	2018	Greenpeace Indonesia v. Governor of Bali Province	Indonesia	Pending	State Administrative Court of Denpasar	Challenging the granting of environmental permits for the expansion of a coal-fired power plant on the basis that these actions, among other things, are inconsistent with Indonesia's obligations under international climate law and that the decisions were made without adequate public participation. Moreover, the plaintiffs allege that the permits were granted without adequate consideration of socioeconomic impacts and the impacts the plant expansion would have on pollution, health, and wildlife, among other things.
80	2018	Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC v. Mivasair	Canada	Pending	Supreme Court of British Columbia; Court of Appeals of British Columbia	The state brought charges against two climate activists for contempt of an injunction that prohibited interference with an oil pipeline and its terminals. The defendant activists sought to use the climate necessity defense – derived from criminal law and the Canadian Charter – arguing that the urgent and severe threat of climate change justified their actions to block access to oil pipeline terminals.
81	2018	Farooq v. Pakistan	Pakistan	Granted	High Court of Lahore	Arguing that proper implementation of various domestic environmental statutes is necessary due to rapidly decreasing forest coverage in Pakistan. The petitioners further argue that trees in forests and other natural resources are covered by the public trust doctrine, which means that the government should conserve forests for public use instead of allowing them to be used for commercial or private purposes. The government's inaction on this matter is evidenced by their failure to protect existing trees or to plant new trees, despite the mandate under the Trees Act. The petitioners also argue that the government has failed to implement its own climate change policies. Finally, the petitioners allege that the government has failed to satisfy its obligations under law and policy to preserve, maintain, and grow forest coverage in Pakistan and in Punjab specifically. The petitioners urge action to protect their fundamental rights guaranteed under the Pakistani Constitution.
82	2018	Cases Against Credit Suisse Protestors'	European Court of Human Rights	Pending	European Court of Human Rights; Federal Supreme Court of Switzerland; Court of Appeals in Geneva; Swiss Court of Appeals in Renens; Lausanne District Court	The defendant climate activists argued that they should not be convicted and pay a fine for trespass associated with a protest (wherein they staged a fake tennis match to protest Credit Suisse's fossil fuel investments and pressure Roger Federer to end his sponsorship with them) because the severity and urgency of climate change justified their actions.
83	2018	Aji P. v. State of Washington	United States	Dismissed	Washington Supreme Court; Washington Court of Appeals; Washington Superior Court	Asserting that the Washington state government violated the constitutional rights of youth citizens by causing dangerous carbon dioxide concentrations, based on the public trust doctrine, the rights to life, liberty, and property, and equal protection.
84	2018	Notre Affaire à Tous v. France	France	Granted	Administrative Court of Paris	Challenging the government's failure to take further action on climate change based on the rights to life, health, private and family life, and the right of every person to live in a healthy and ecologically balanced environment.
85	2018	BUND (Friends of the Earth Germany) v. Germany	Germany	Granted	Federal Constitutional Court	Challenging the government's failure to meet greenhouse gas emission reduction goals, based on citizens' rights to life, health, occupational freedom, and property.
86	2018	ENVironnement JEUnesse v. Canada	Canada	Dismissed	Québec Court of Appeals; Superior Court of Québec	Challenging the government's failure to set an adequate greenhouse gas emission reduction target and develop a sufficient plan to avoid dangerous climate change impacts, based on the rights of youngest generations to life, inviolability, security of the person, and equality.
87	2018	Family Farmers and Greenpeace Germany v. Germany	Germany	Dismissed	Administrative Court (Berlin)	Challenging insufficient action by the government to meet its 2020 greenhouse gas emissions reduction target, based on the rights to life and health, occupational freedom, and property.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
88	2018	Plan B Earth v. Secretary of State for Transport	United Kingdom	Dismissed	Supreme Court; Court of Appeal (Civil Division); High Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Division (Planning Court, Divisional Court)	Challenging government approval of an expansion to the Heathrow International Airport as failing to adequately consider the U.K.'s climate change commitments. Based on the rights to life, property, private and family life, and nondiscrimination (for those with certain protected characteristics, in particular the poor).
89	2018	Armando Ferrão Carvalho v. European Parliament	European Union	Dismissed	Court of Justice of the European Union; EU General Court (Second Chamber)	Seeking an injunction to order the EU to enact more stringent greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets through existing programs. Based on the rights to life, health, occupation, property, and equal treatment (based on age and geographic place of birth), and the rights of children.
90	2018	Maria Khan v. Pakistan	Pakistan	Pending	Lahore High Court	Challenging government inaction on climate change based on the rights of women and future generations to a healthy environment and a climate capable of supporting human life and on equal protection for women.
91	2018	Citizens' Committee on the Kobe Coal-Fired Power Plant v. Kobe Steel Ltd.	Japan	Pending	Osaka High Court; Kobe District Court	Alleging that the construction and operation of a new coal-fired power plant would violate constitutional rights by virtue, inter alia, of the air pollutants and GHG emissions it would produce.
92	2018	Citizens' Committee on the Kobe Coal-Fired Power Plant v. Japan	Japan	Dismissed	Supreme Court; Osaka High Court; Osaka District Court	Alleging that the construction and operation of a new coal-fired power plant would violate constitutional rights by virtue, inter alia, of the air pollutants and GHG emissions it would produce; alleging also that GHG emissions can violate human rights; alleging also failure to pass emissions regulations consistent with the Paris Agreement.
93	2018	IPC Petroleum France v. France	France	Dismissed	Council of State	Challenging the decision of the French government to grant an extension of an existing fossil fuel extraction permit with an expiration date, on the basis that it violated its right to property.
94	2018	Statnett SF v. Sør-Fosen sijte	Norway	Granted	Supreme Court of Norway	Challenging the development of wind farms as an infringement of reindeer herders' rights under Article 27 (rights to culture, etc.) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).
95	2018	Friends of the Earth v. Prefect of Bouches-du-Rhône & Total	France	Granted	Marseille Administrative Court	Challenging the permit issued to Total to operate a biorefinery and its continued operation on the basis that the relevant government decision failed to adequately consider the climate and environmental harms associated with the use of imported palm oil and comply with obligations concerning the right to a healthy environment.
96	2018	Development YES – Open-Pit Mines NO v. Group PZU S.A.	OECD	Granted	OECD National Contact Point	Alleging that that chapters of the OECD Guidelines (on general policies, disclosures, human rights, and consumer interests) had been violated by the company's failure to include certain information related to GHG emissions in its 2017 non-financial statement.
97	2018	OAAA v. Araucaria Energy SA	Argentina	Pending	Federal Court	Alleging that the construction and operation of a thermoelectric plant violates international climate law, international human rights law, the Argentina Constitution, and domestic environmental law.
98	2018	Roberts v. Regina	United Kingdom	Dismissed	Court of Appeal (Criminal Division); Crown Court at Preston	The defendants were convicted in lower court for public nuisance contrary to common law for sitting on top of trucks and blocking part of a road for several days to protest the authorization of fracking for gas at a particular site. The defendants appealed the convictions on the basis that imprisonment for nonviolent protest is an inappropriate and excessive sentence and inconsistent with their right to peaceful protest under domestic law and the European Convention on Human Rights, in addition to an error the judge made interpreting the law.
99	2018	Private Corporation for the Development of Asyén v. Environmental Evaluation Service	Chile	Granted	Third Environmental Tribunal	Challenging the defendant's approval of a hydroelectric project on the basis that the environmental impact assessment failed to consider a number of material impacts, including biodiversity and climate impacts.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
100	2018	Exxon Mobil Corp. v. San Francisco	United States	Dismissed	Texas Supreme Court; Court of Appeals, Second Appellate District of Texas; District Court of Tarrant County, Texas	Seeking pretrial discovery for a suit against California municipalities, municipal officials, and a climate activist, arguing that they have formed a conspiracy to use tort lawsuits against Exxon Mobil and other energy companies as a pretext to suppress speech about climate and energy policies. In doing so, Exxon argues the defendants violated Exxon's constitutional right to speech and abused process.
101	2018	Association for Protection of Democratic Rights v. The State of West Bengal and Others	India	Pending	Supreme Court of India	Challenging the government's decision to fell old-growth trees in order to construct roads and bridges, on the grounds that the right to a healthy environment and international treaty obligations preclude doing so.
102	2018	Greenpeace Canada v. Minister of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks	Canada	Dismissed	Superior Court of Ontario	Alleging that Ontario's government undermined greenhouse gas reduction efforts and bypassed public consultations required by the Environmental Bill of Rights (EBR) when ending a cap-and-trade program and proposing a bill to repeal climate legislation.
103	2018	Rhode Island v. Shell Oil Products	United States	Pending	Court of Appeals for the First Circuit; U.S. District Court of Rhode Island; Rhode Island Superior Court	Suing fossil fuel companies for causing climate change impacts by producing, promoting, and marketing fossil fuels while concealing the risks of such fuels and undermining climate science. The state alleges that the companies violated tort law and the environmental rights of state residents.
104	2018	Federal Environmental Agency (IBAMA) v. Silmar Gomes Moreira	Brazil	Pending	Pará Federal Court	Seeking restoration and compensation for environmental and climate damages, including harm to flora and fauna, soil erosion, and contribution to global warming, stemming from the carbon emissions caused by illegal wood deposits and deforestation in the Amazon.
105	2018	Federal Environmental Agency (IBAMA) v. Alto Norte Indústria, Comércio e Exportação de Madeiras Ltda	Brazil	Pending	Mato Grosso Federal Court	Seeking restoration and compensation for environmental and climate damages, including harm to flora and fauna, soil erosion, and contribution to global warming, stemming from the carbon emissions caused by illegal wood deposits and deforestation in the Amazon.
106	2018	Federal Environment Agency (IBAMA) v. Madeireira Madevi Ltda.	Brazil	Pending	Pará Federal Court	Seeking restoration and compensation for environmental and climate damages, including harm to flora and fauna, soil erosion, and contribution to global warming, stemming from the carbon emissions caused by illegal wood deposits and deforestation in the Amazon.
107	2018	Federal Environmental Agency (IBAMA) v. Gabriel Indústria e Comércio Madeiras EIRELI	Brazil	Pending	Pará Federal Court	Seeking restoration and compensation for environmental and climate damages, including harm to flora and fauna, soil erosion, and contribution to global warming, stemming from the carbon emissions caused by illegal wood deposits and deforestation in the Amazon.
108	2018	OIKOS Red Ambiental v. Mendoza Province	Argentina	Pending	Supreme Court of the Mendoza Province	Challenging Decree Nº 248/2018, which regulates fracking in Mendoza, Argentina, on the grounds that the decree lacked public participation and could lead to excessive water use, environmental harm, and risks from radioactive materials; amicus curiae emphasized the climate impacts of fracking, including CO2 and methane emissions, arguing that these were not properly considered and could violate Argentina's Paris Agreement commitments.
109	2019	Federal Environment Agency (IBAMA) v. Madeira Nova Aliança Ltda.	Brazil	Pending	Pará Federal Court	Seeking restoration and compensation for environmental and climate damages, including harm to flora and fauna, soil erosion, and contribution to global warming, stemming from the carbon emissions caused by illegal wood deposits and deforestation in the Amazon.
110	2019	Federal Environment Agency ("IBAMA") v. Indústria, Comércio e Exportação de Madeiras Floresta Verde Ltda.	Brazil	Pending	Pará Federal Court	Seeking restoration and compensation for environmental and climate damages, including harm to flora and fauna, soil erosion, and contribution to global warming, stemming from the carbon emissions caused by illegal wood deposits and deforestation in the Amazon.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
111	2019	D.G. Khan Cement Company Ltd.v. Punjab	Pakistan	Dismissed	Supreme Court of Pakistan	Challenging an ordinance that disallows the establishment and enlargement of cement plants in a certain area within the Chakwal and Khushab Districts, on the basis that the government lacked jurisdiction to pass the ordinance; it infringed upon the owner of the cement company's constitutional right to trade, business, and profession; the petitioner didn't have an adequate opportunity to be heard; the government discriminated against similarly situated cement companies; and the required studies weren't undertaken.
112	2019	Focus v. Ascent Resources plc	OECD	Dismissed	OECD National Contact Point	Alleging that Ascent Resources plc, in its fracking activities in Slovenia, has violated the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises by creating environmental and health hazards, operating without due diligence, engaging poorly with stakeholders, and conducting improper lobbying activities.
113	2019	Adkin-Kaya v. Attorney General	Canada	Dismissed	Federal Court of Appeal	Challenging the government's decision to issue a certificate finding that the adverse environmental effects of the Trans Mountain Expansion project – a fossil fuel pipeline expansion – were justified on the basis that the decision failed to consider the massive greenhouse gas emissions associated with the project and its impacts on the Charter rights of the youth petitioners.
114	2019	In the Matter of the Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act (Alberta)	Canada	Dismissed	Supreme Court of Canada; Court of Appeal of Alberta	The plaintiff province challenged the Canadian federal government's act establishing carbon pricing on the basis that it overstepped its constitutional authority, in violation of the province's rights under the Canadian Constitution.
115	2019	Sacchi v. Argentina	United Nations	Dismissed	UN Committee on the Rights of the Child	Alleging insufficient cuts to greenhouse gas emissions and a failure to use available tools to protect children from carbon pollution by the world's major emitters. Based on the rights under the CRC, including the rights to non-discrimination, prioritization of the best interests of the child, culture, life, and health, and the principle of intergenerational justice.
116	2019	In the Matter of the Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act (Saskatchewan)	Canada	Dismissed	Supreme Court of Canada; Court of Appeal of Saskatchewan	The plaintiff province challenged the Canadian federal government's act establishing carbon pricing on the basis that it overstepped its constitutional authority, in particular because it concerns property and civil rights or other matters of exclusive provincial concern.
117	2019	Commune de Grande-Synthe v. France	France	Granted	Council of State (Conseil d'Etat)	Challenging the French government's failure to take further action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, based on the rights to life and private life.
118	2019	EU Biomass Plaintiffs v. European Union	European Union	Dismissed	EU General Court	Challenging the treatment of forest biomass as a renewable fuel in the European Union's 2018 revised Renewable Energy Directive. Based on the rights to property, health, private and family life.
119	2019	Ruling on Modification to Ethanol Fuel Rule [610/2019]	Mexico	Granted	Supreme Court	Challenging the government's increase in the permissible maximum ethanol fuel content, based on the rights to a healthy environment, life, health, food, and water.
120	2019	Milieudefensie et al. v. Royal Dutch Shell plc.	Netherlands	Decided	Hague Court of Appeal; Hague District Court	Alleging a private oil company failed to take adequate action to curb contributions to climate change in violation of their duty of care and human rights obligations under national and international law. Based on the rights to life, private life, family life, home, and correspondence.
121	2019	Les Amis de la Terre (Friends of the Earth) v. Total	France	Dismissed	Judicial Court of Paris; Court of Cassation; Court of Appeal of Versailles; Nanterre High Court	Suit over an oil project in Uganda and Tanzania, alleging that Total failed to properly assess the risks to the environment and to human rights as required by law. The competence of the court was also at issue, namely whether a suit on a company's duty of vigilance should be regarded as a commercial act and under the jurisdiction of the commercial (rather than judicial) court.
122	2019	Notre Affaire à Tous v. Total	France	Pending	Nanterre High Court of Justice	Alleging that a French oil company failed to adequately report climate risks and their human rights impacts associated with its activities and take action to mitigate those risks in line with the goals of the Paris Agreement.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
123	2019	La Rose v. Her Majesty the Queen	Canada	Pending	Federal Court of Appeal; Federal Court of Canada	Demanding that the government prepare a plan for reducing GHG emissions; alleging that the Canadian government's policies contribute to high emissions that infringe the plaintiffs' rights to life, liberty, security, and equal protection.
124	2019	Álvarez v. Peru	Peru	Pending	Superior Court of Lima	Seeking a judgment by the court to require net zero deforestation of the Amazon by year 2025 because of the environmental and climate consequences of the government's failure to adequately halt deforestation, based on the rights to dignity, life, health, water, conservation of biological diversity, sustainable use of natural resources, best interests of the child, solidarity and intergenerational justice.
125	2019	Daniel Billy v. Australia (Torres Strait Islanders Petition)	United Nations	Granted	UN Human Rights Committee	Whether Australia violated the human rights of low-lying islanders through its failure to act on climate change, based on the rights to culture and life and the right to be free from arbitrary interference with privacy, family, and home.
126	2019	Philippi Horticultural Area Food & Farming Campaign v. MEC for Local Government, Environmental Affairs and Development Planning: Western Cape	South Africa	Granted	High Court	Challenging an administrative decision allowing an urban development that would threaten a local aquifer, thereby amplifying climate harms. Based on the rights to healthy environment, water, and food.
127	2019	Jóvenes v. Gobierno de México	Mexico	Pending	Supreme Court of Mexico; Seventh Collegiate Circuit Court in Administrative Matters; District Court in Administrative Matters	Arguing that the Mexican government must comply with the terms of the General Law on Climate Change and issue regulations and policies pursuant thereto in order to adequately implement the law. Moreover, Mexico cannot comply with its international obligations under the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreements without issuing policies and regulations implementing the General Law on Climate Change. The plaintiffs also argue that the government's failure to implement the law jeopardizes their human rights and, therefore, the government has obligations under the Mexican Constitution to adequately implement climate change policies and regulations and mitigate Mexico's contribution to climate change.
128	2019	Mathur v. Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Ontario	Canada	Pending	Ontario Court of Appeal; Ontario Superior Court of Justice	Alleging that Ontario's repeal of the Climate Change Act and its 2030 GHG reduction target of 30% below 2005 levels constitute an abdication of its responsibility to address climate change and a violation of the Charter rights to life, liberty, and security of the person, and equal protection under the law.
129	2019	Greenpeace Luxembourg v. Minister of Social Security	Luxembourg	Dismissed	Administrative Court	Challenging the Minister of Social Security's alleged failure to respond to Greenpeace's request for information on how, inter alia, Luxembourg's Compensation Fund, a pension fund, aligned itself with the objectives of the Paris Agreement.
130	2019	The Two Hundred v. Office of Planning and Research	United States	Pending	California Superior Court	Challenging amendments to regulations implementing the California Environmental Quality Act, which use housing to address climate change, on the basis that they worsen the housing crisis and disparately harm minority communities in California – in violation of the California Constitution and the U.S. Constitution – including civil rights protected under them – and other applicable laws.
131	2019	ClientEarth v. European Investment Bank	European Union	Pending	European Court of Justice; EU General Court	Alleging that the European Investment Bank's decision to deny ClientEarth's request for internal review of EIB's decision to finance a biomass power generation plant in Spain violated the Aarhus Convention and applicable EU regulations.
132	2019	Wayúu Indigenous Community v. Ministry of Environment	Colombia	Pending	Council of State First Section	Alleging that the licensing of the Cerrejón Zona Norte Coal Mining Project violates the environmental general law and the rights to free, prior, informed consent and a healthy environment due to its effects on climate change and GHG emissions.
133	2019	IBAMA v. Siderúrgica São Luiz Ltd.	Brazil	Pending	15th Federal Civil Court of SJMG	Alleging that the defendant steel company is liable for environmental harms caused by their continuous use of illegally sourced coal in their production of steel, contributing to illegal deforestation and climate change.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
134	2019	Komor v. United States I	United States	Pending	District Court of Arizona	Alleging that U.S. public officials knowingly adopted policies contributing to climate change in violation of plaintiff's Fifth Amendment right to life, liberty, and property; equal protection principles embedded in the Fifth Amendment; and unenumerated rights preserved for the people by the Ninth Amendment; as well as the public trust doctrine.
135	2019	Hauraki Coromandel Climate Action Inc. v. Thames-Coromandel District Council	New Zealand	Granted	High Court of New Zealand	Challenging the decision of the defendant District Council not to approve the Mayor's signing of the Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration (an initiative to bolster local leadership on climate action) was inconsistent with its legal obligations, including those related to reasoned decision-making.
136	2019	I.L. v. Italian Ministry of the Interior	Italy	Granted	Supreme Court of Cassation	Seeking asylum based on environmental degradation in the Niger Delta that threatens the right to life.
137	2019	MK Ranjitsinh v. India	India	Granted	Supreme Court of India	Environmentalists filed a petition seeking the protection of endangered birds threatened by power lines in a solar power-rich region. While underscoring the importance of renewable energy, the court recognized the right to be protected from the adverse effects of climate change. The court directed a committee to evaluate conservation measures that are compatible with expanding renewable energy.
138	2019	ADO 54 (on deforestation in the Amazon)	Brazil	Decided	Federal District Federal Court	Challenging that the Bolsonaro administration's failure to combat deforestation in the Amazon violated the Constitution, including the right to an ecologically balanced environment, and Brazil's obligations under the National Climate Change Plan.
139	2019	Amarnath Jha v. Office of the Prime Minister and Council of Ministers	Nepal	Decided	Supreme Court of Nepal	Arguing that the government's failure to take appropriate humanitarian precautions resulted in violations to citizens' rights to life, property, and the right to live in a healthy and safe environment.
140	2019	External Contribution to the French Constitutional Council	France	Pending	French Constitutional Council	Challenging the approval of a bill related to energy and the climate on the grounds that the bill failed to comply with the French duty of environmental vigilance, and asking the Council to recognize the right to live in a sustainable climate.
141	2019	Hearing on Climate Change Before the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	Pending	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR)	Asking the Commission to recognize the impacts of climate change on the fulfillment of human rights, particularly those of indigenous peoples, women, children, and rural communities; to urge States to take more ambitious mitigation actions; and to clarify the scope of private responsibility with regard to corporations and climate change.
142	2019	IBAMA v. Municipality of Pitimbu and others	Brazil	Decided	Paraiba Federal Court	Bringing a public civil action against illegal construction in a protected area along the Acaú River and mangroves.
143	2019	Ruling on Constitutionality of banning the distribution of non-recycled plastic bags in Oaxaca	Mexico	Decided	Supreme Court	Arguing that amendments to the state's Solid Waste Prevention and Management Law, specifically a prohibition on businesses distributing non-recycled plastic bags, violated the plaintiff's constitutional rights, explicitly impeding their ability to conduct business.
144	2020	Lho'imggin et al. v. Her Majesty the Queen	Canada	Pending	Federal Court of Appeal; Federal Court	Challenging the Canadian government to adhere to its emissions reduction targets under the Paris Agreement, based on the rights to life, liberty, security of the person, and equal protection for future generations.
145	2020	Greenpeace v. Austria (The Zoubek Case)	Austria	Dismissed	Constitutional Court	Challenging two laws that give tax credits for air travel but not rail transportation, arguing that GHGs pose a threat to the rights to life and liberty.
146	2020	Asociación Civil por la Justicia Ambiental v. Province of Entre Ríos	Argentina	Pending	Supreme Court	Alleging that the government's failure to protect the ecologically sensitive Paraná Delta violates international human rights and climate law as well as the Paraná Delta's own rights.
147	2020	Do-Hyun Kim v. South Korea	South Korea	Pending	Constitutional Court	Arguing that the South Korean government's current GHG emissions targets are unconstitutional as they fail to protect guaranteed rights to life, health, pursuit of happiness, and a clean environment.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
148	2020	Waratah Coal Pty v. Youth Verdict	Australia	Granted	Queensland Land Court	Arguing that the proposed coal mine infringes upon the plaintiff's human rights – including their rights to life, the rights of children, and the right to culture as guaranteed under the Human Rights Act – by contributing to climate change.
149	2020	Neubauer v. Germany	Germany	Granted	Federal Constitutional Court	Arguing that Germany's Federal Climate Protection Act is legally insufficient and, as such, violates their constitutionally-guaranteed human rights, including the right to human dignity and the right to life and physical integrity.
150	2020	Held v. Montana	United States	Decided	Montana Supreme Court; Montana District Court	Asserting that the Montana state government violated the constitutional rights of youth citizens by enacting energy policies that allow substantial greenhouse gas emissions and lead to dangerous carbon dioxide concentrations, based on the public trust doctrine; the rights to life, liberty, and property; and equal protection.
151	2020	Partido Socialista Brasileiro (PSB) v. Federal Union ['Climate Fund Case']	Brazil	Granted	Supreme Federal Court	Challenging the Brazilian federal government's failure to sufficiently administer and implement the Climate Fund, in violation of Brazilian law and the government's duty to protect the environment (derived from the precautionary principle and the Brazilian Constitution).
152	2020	Partido Socialista Brasileiro (PSB) v. Federal Union ['Amazon Fund Case']	Brazil	Granted	Supreme Federal Court	Alleging that the Brazilian federal government has failed to implement the Amazon Fund in violation of Brazilian law and the government's duty to protect the environment (derived from the precautionary principle and the Brazilian Constitution).
153	2020	Instituto Socioambiental v. IBAMA	Brazil	Pending	7th Federal Environmental & Agrarian Court of the Judiciary Section of Amazonas	Alleging that the federal environmental agency's decision to allow the export of native timber with diminished government oversight violates federal law as well as constitutional rights, given the ecological importance of the Amazon and the climate harms that stem from the Amazon's destruction.
154	2020	Institute of Amazonian Studies v. Brazil	Brazil	Pending	Federal District Court of Curitiba	Alleging that Brazil's failure to control deforestation in the Amazon and implement appropriate deforestation control policy violates, inter alia, constitutional and human rights.
155	2020	PSB v. Brazil (on national deforestation policy)	Brazil	Pending	Supreme Federal Court	Alleging that the government's failure to implement its national deforestation policy (PPCDAm) violates fundamental constitutional rights as a result of deforestation's contribution to climate change. Also specifically alleging the violation of Indigenous and traditional communities' rights and the rights of future generations.
156	2020	Duarte Agostinho ("Youth for Climate Justice") v. Portugal	European Court of Human Rights	Pending	European Court of Human Rights	Alleging that 33 Member States of the EU have violated human rights by failing to take sufficient action on climate change, based on the rights to life, privacy, and freedom from discrimination.
157	2020	Greenpeace v. Spain I	Spain	Dismissed	Supreme Court	Challenging the Spanish government's failure to take sufficient action to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions and address climate change in line with its commitments under the Paris Agreement.
158	2020	William v. Attorney General of Uganda	Uganda	Pending	High Court of Uganda at Mbale	Arguing that the Ugandan government's failure to address known landslide risks (climate change increases landslide risks) violates the plaintiffs' rights to life, property, and a healthy environment.
159	2020	Plan B.Earth v. United Kingdom	European Court of Human Rights	Dismissed	European Court of Human Rights; Court of Appeal; High Court of Justice	Alleging that the UK government's widespread failure to respond to the climate emergency violates human rights and other legal obligations.
160	2020	Baihua Caiga v. PetroOriental SA	Ecuador	Dismissed	Orellana Provincial Court of Justice	Alleging that the climate pollution produced from PetroOriental's oil extraction and the subsequent use of that oil constitutes a continuing and persistent violation of human rights and the rights of nature.
161	2020	Greenpeace Mexico v. Ministry of Energy (on the National Electric System policies)	Mexico	Granted	First Circuit Collegiate Tribunal; Mexico City District Court in Administrative Matters	Alleging that two federal energy sector policies violate Mexico's international climate commitments and the rights to a healthy environment and sustainable development by suspending renewable energy power plants.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
162	2020	Greenpeace Mexico v. Ministry of Energy (on the Energy Sector Program 2020)	Mexico	Pending	First Circuit Collegiate Tribunal; Mexico City District Court in Administrative Matters	Alleging that the Energy Sector Program for 2020 – 2024 violates, inter alia, the right to a healthy environment and the right to access renewable energy-based electricity by promoting the use of fossil fuels at the expense of renewable energy and GHG emissions reductions.
163	2020	European Center for Constitutional and Human Rights (ECCHR) and Proyecto de Derechos Económicos, Sociales y Culturales (ProDESC) v. Electricité de France (EDF)	France	Pending	Tribunal Judiciaire	Arguing that French energy company Electricité de France (EDF) violated its obligations of corporate due diligence when it failed to adequately consult with the indigenous Zapotec community of Unión Hidalgo before constructing a large-scale wind farm on their land.
164	2020	Moncayo v. PetroAmazonas, Ministry of Energy and Non-Renewable Natural Resources, and Ministry of the Environment	Ecuador	Granted	Sucumbios Provincial Court of Justice	Alleging that the government's practice of gas flaring contributes to climate change and violates constitutionally protected rights to health and a healthy environment and the rights of nature and environmental principles, such as sustainable development and the state's obligation to adopt policies and measures to prevent negative environmental impacts.
165	2020	South Korean Biomass Case'	South Korea	Pending	Constitutional Court	Alleging that the South Korean government's treatment of biomass as renewable energy and its subsidization of biomass-derived energy violates citizens' constitutional rights by, inter alia, increasing pollution and climate harms.
166	2020	Friends of the Earth v. UK Export Finance	United Kingdom	Pending	Court of Appeal, Civil Division; High Court of Justice	Alleging that the UK's decision to finance liquified natural gas developments in Mozambique was unreasonable given, inter alia, its obligations under the Paris Agreement and the associated climate, biodiversity, and human rights impacts.
167	2020	Sierra Club v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	United States	Dismissed	Court of Appeals for the First Circuit; U.S. District Court of Maine	Alleging that the Army Corps of Engineers failed to comply with US domestic environmental and administrative law when it proposed an electrical transmission project that would cut across ecologically sensitive areas; the project would also use energy derived from Canadian "megadams" that present climate change, environmental justice, and human rights issues.
168	2020	Sharma v. Minister for the Environment	Australia	Dismissed	Federal Court of Australia	Alleging that the Minister of the Environment's approval of the new Whitehaven coal mine is likely to impose serious harms on the plaintiffs through its contribution to greenhouse gas emissions, which constitutes a breach of the Minister's duty to exercise reasonable care to not cause the plaintiffs harm.
169	2020	Smith v. Fonterra Co-operative Group	New Zealand	Pending	Supreme Court of New Zealand; Court of Appeal; High Court of New Zealand	Alleging that the defendants – who are corporations that either release greenhouse gases into the atmosphere or sell products that release greenhouse gases when burned, including dairy farms, a power stations, and a steel mill – are responsible for public nuisance, negligence, and breach of an inchoate duty as a result of their actions.
170	2020	New Zealand Police v. Hanafin	New Zealand	Granted	District Court at Timaru	Four climate activists were arrested and charged with trespass associated with occupying an oil platform, which they argued was necessary given the threat posed by climate change.
171	2020	EH v. Queensland Police	Australia	Dismissed	District Court of Queensland	Young climate activists were criminally charged in connection with chaining themselves to block access to a coal loading facility, in protest against the expansion of coal mines. The appeal of their initial conviction turned, inter alia, on their rights to protest and assemble and the nature of their action.
172	2020	Clara Leonel Ramos v. São Paulo	Brazil	Dismissed	Court of Justice of the State of São Paulo	Filing an autonomous production of evidence suit on the basis that the projects implementing a government program that finances the manufacturing of automotive vehicles do not reduce greenhouse gas emissions as stated in a state decree and do not help make socioeconomic development compatible with the climate system. This, in turn, contributes to / doesn't help stem constitutional rights violations – including, e.g., the rights to health, dignity, respect, and freedom from negligence and discrimination – experienced by children and adolescents as a result of climate change (and future violations).

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
173	2020	Ministério Público Federal v. IBAMA	Brazil	Pending	7th Federal Environmental & Agrarian Court of the Judiciary Section of Amazonas	Seeking an injunction requiring the federal government – through certain departments and agencies – to implement command and control actions to control the perpetrators of illegal deforestation in at least ten main hot spots of deforestation in the Amazon, based in part on growing evidence of the torts and health harms (which impact rights) associated with this deforestation
174	2020	Greenpeace Netherlands v. Ministry of Finance	Netherlands	Dismissed	Hague District Court	Arguing that the government's covid-19 bailout of airline KLM violated the government's duty of care to prevent dangerous climate change, which derived from international and domestic climate law as well as the European Convention on Human Rights.
175	2020	Deutsche Umwelthilfe v. Nordrhein-Westfalen	Germany	Pending	Higher Administrative Court of North Rhine-Westphalia	Challenging the state of Nordrhein-Westfalen for their alleged failure to update their climate protection plan as required under state and federal law.
176	2020	Josefina Huffington Archbold v. Office for the President	Colombia	Granted	Constitutional Court	Alleging that the Colombian governments' actions to address the harms inflicted on Afro-Colombian communities on the islands of Providencia and Santa Catalina violated fundamental rights and seeking constitutional protection.
177	2020	City & County of Honolulu v. Sunoco LP	United States	Pending	Supreme Court of Hawai'i; Hawai'i Court of Appeals; Hawai'i Circuit Court; U.S. Supreme Court; Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; U.S. District Court for the District of Hawai'i	Challenging the decades-long deception of the defendant fossil fuel companies and their role in marketing fossil fuel products while denying and obfuscating the reality of the climate emergency. Alleging that this behavior violates their duty to adequately warn and constitutes trespass and a public & private nuisance.
178	2020	Centro Mexicano de Derecho Ambiental v. Mexico	Mexico	Dismissed	Supreme Court; Administrative District Court	Challenging amendments in Mexico's domestic environmental law which eliminated the Climate Change Fund as a violation of the constitutional right to a healthy environment.
179	2020	Complaint by Friends of the Earth, Egan, Dodds and Simons regarding ANZ Group	OECD	Dismissed	OECD National Contact Point	Challenging the banking group's lack of adequate disclosure concerning climate change impacts as failing to meet standards set by the OECD Guidelines, which include, inter alia, environmental and human rights standards.
180	2020	Instituto Internacional Arayara v. Copelmi Mineração Ltda.	Brazil	Pending	Federal Court for the Judicial Section of Rio Grande do Sul	Challenging the implementation of the Guaíba mining project on the basis that it would violate the National Climate Change Policy and the rights of affected communities.
181	2020	Ministério Público Federal v. Ricardo Salles	Brazil	Pending	Federal Section of the Federal District Court	Seeking the removal of Ricardo Salles, (former) Minister of the Environment, on the basis that he failed to meet his constitutional duty to protect the environment by, inter alia, contributing to the breakdown of environmental governance in Brazil (including with respect to climate change), with implications for constitutional environmental rights.
182	2020	Rede Sustentabilidade v. National Environmental Council	Brazil	Granted	Federal Court	Challenging CONAMA Resolution 500/2020, a new regulation which governed the irrigation and status of certain preservation areas, as unconstitutional on the basis that it, inter alia, violated the constitutional right to a ecologically balanced environment given the ecological harms that would. be generated by it, including with respect to climate change.
183	2020	ADPF 746 (fires in the Pantanal / Amazon)	Brazil	Pending	Supreme Federal Court	Challenging the failure of the Federal Executive Branch to act to prevent and mitigate fires in the Pantanal and Amazon Forest -- which seriously risks these critical ecosystems, violates constitutionally protected human rights, and is inconsistent with the government's climate obligations, in violation of the Executive Branch's constitutional duties.
184	2020	Levin Richmon Terminal Corp. v. City of Richmond	United States	Pending	U.S. District Court for the District of Northern California	Challenging an ordinance passed by the City of Richmond that prohibits the storage and handling of coal and petroleum coke at storage and handling facilities as an unconstitutional exercises of police powers and a violation of the plaintiffs' rights to due process, equal protection and contractual relations.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
185	2020	Rights of Indigenous People in Addressing Climate-Forced Displacement (Complaint)	United Nations	Pending	United Nations Special Rapporteurs	Alleging that the U.S. government's contributions to climate change driving the plaintiff Tribes' displacement as well as their failure to adequately address that displacement and protect the Tribes facing it violate a host of their human rights, including their rights to life, health, housing, water, sanitation, a healthy environment, and food.
186	2020	EACOP Case' (Center for Food and Adequate Living Rights v. Uganda)	East African Court of Justice	Pending	East African Court of Justice	Alleging that the proposed East African pipeline [EACOP] violates environmental and administrative law as well as rights guaranteed under international human rights law
187	2020	Adorers of the Blood of Christ, State Province v. Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company	United States	Dismissed	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania; Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit	Alleging that the defendant fossil fuel company constructed the Atlantic Sunrise pipeline through property owned by the plaintiff religious order without their permission and in violation of the plaintiffs' deeply held religious beliefs and practices, thus violating the plaintiffs' rights under the Religious Freedom Restoration Act.
188	2020	Divest Invest Protect v. Credit Suisse Group	OECD	Dismissed	U.S. National Contact Point	Challenging Credit Suisse Group (CRG) for failing to leverage its relationship with Energy Transfer Partners (ETP) to prevent human rights and environmental harms to indigenous communities impacted by ETP's construction and operation of the Bakken Pipeline system. The complainants also highlight CRG's financing of fossil fuels, which are driving the climate crisis.
189	2020	ADP Group (Paris Airports) v. Climate Activists	France	Dismissed	Correctional Tribunal	Whether defendant climate activists acted in a "state of necessity" while staging a protest in front of the Paris Charles de Gaulle airport.
190	2020	The Mexican Center for Environmental Law (CEMDA) v. Comisión Reguladora de Energía	Mexico	Dismissed	Collegiate Court	Challenging a government resolution that would significantly increase transmission rates for renewable energy projects on the grounds that the increase violated Mexico's international environmental and energy commitments, was unjustified and disproportionate, would obstruct the country's transition to clean energy, and would exacerbate reliance on fossil fuels, thereby violating citizens' right to a healthy environment.
191	2020	ADPF 755 (Federal environmental sanctioning process)	Brazil	Dismissed	Federal District Federal Court	Claiming that the government had weakened environmental protections and paralyzed sanctions, causing rising deforestation, fires, and harm to indigenous lands, in violation of Brazil's environmental obligations under the domestic Constitution and the Paris Agreement.
192	2020	Herrera Carrion et al. v. Ministry of the Environment et al. (Caso Mecheros)	Ecuador	Decided	Provincial Court of Justice of Sucumbio	Challenging the government of Ecuador over the widespread practice of gas flaring, arguing that routine use of gas flaring violates the plaintiffs' rights to health, water, food sovereignty, a healthy environment, and the rights of Nature.
193	2020	Associação Brasileira dos Membros do Ministério Público de Meio Ambiente v. Ministro de Estado do Meio Ambiente ADPF 814	Brazil	Dismissed	Federal District Federal Court	Challenging changes to the National Climate Change Fund's Management Committee on the grounds that the changes reduced transparency and representativeness by removing key stakeholders while increasing the influence of sectors linked to greenhouse gas emissions.
194	2020	Ilustre Municipalidad de Cerrillos (Google Data Center)	Chile	Decided	Environmental Court	Filing an administrative claim against the approval of Google Chile's "Cerrillos Data Center" project, citing concerns about its potential impact on water resources and air quality in the Cerrillos commune.
195	2020	State of Rondônia and Public Prosecutor's Office of the State of Rondônia v. invaders of the Guajará-Mirim State Park and its Amortization Zone	Brazil	Decided	Rondônia State Court	Filing an injunction request against individuals who unlawfully invaded and continued to occupy the Guajará-Mirim State Park and its buffer zone.
196	2020	City of Charleston v. Brabham Oil Co. and others	United States	Pending	District Court	Claiming that fossil fuel corporations' products are responsible for severe climate change impacts, including flooding, erosion, and extreme weather, and accusing the companies of failing to warn about the risks, misleading the public, and promoting harmful fossil fuels.
197	2021	Greenpeace v. Instituto Nacional de Ecología y Cambio Climático	Mexico	Dismissed	Eleventh Collegiate Court of the First Circuit in Administrative Matters; District Court in Administrative Matters for Mexico City	Challenging the Mexican government's revised Nationally Determined Contributions as violating the human rights principle of non-regression.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
198	2021	Greenpeace v. Ministry of Energy (on the Energy Sector Program 2020 and Electric Industry Law)	Mexico	Pending	First District Court in Administrative Matters	Challenging two regulations that favor fossil fuels over renewable energy sources on the basis that these regulations violate the right to a healthy environment and Mexico's international commitments on climate change.
199	2021	Idheas Litigio Estratégico v Centro Nacional de Control de Energía (CENACE) and Secretaría de Energía (SENER)	Mexico	Granted	First Circuit Collegiate Tribunal; First Circuit Court in Administrative Matters; Second District Court in Administrative Matters	Challenging regulations that limited use of renewable energy on the basis that the regulations violated the plaintiffs' right to a healthy environment and Mexico's international commitments on climate change.
200	2021	Fridays for Future Augsburg v. city of Augsburg	Germany	Granted	Bavarian Higher Administrative Court	Challenging the German city of Augsburg's disbanding of the plaintiff's climate camp as a violation of their right to assemble under the German Basic Law.
201	2021	Youth v. Minister of Environment & Others	Brazil	Granted	14th Federal Civil Court of São Paulo	Alleging that the emissions reductions target that the Brazilian government recently set violates its obligations under the National Policy on Climate Change, the Paris Agreement, and Article 225 (right to an ecologically balanced environment) of the Brazilian Constitution.
202	2021	Envol Vert v. Casino	France	Pending	Saint-Etienne Court	Arguing that the Casino Group (supermarket company) must take all necessary measures to exclude beef tied to deforestation and the grabbing of Indigenous territories in its supply chains in Colombia, Brazil, and elsewhere in order to comply with the French law on the duty of vigilance.
203	2021	Thomas v. Guyana	Guyana	Pending	Constitutional Court	Alleging that the government's approval of licenses for oil exploration violates the government's constitutional duty to protect the plaintiffs' right to a healthy environment as well as the right to a healthy environment of future generations.
204	2021	A Sud v. Italy ['Giudizio Universale' or 'Last Judgment']	Italy	Pending	Civil Court of Rome	Alleging that the Italian government's failure to take sufficient action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions violates the fundamental rights of the plaintiffs guaranteed under international law and the Italian constitution.
205	2021	Stasiak v. Poland	Poland	Pending	Polish Regional Courts	Alleging that the Polish government's failure to take adequate action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions violates the plaintiffs' rights to life, health, privacy, family life, and a safe climate.
206	2021	HEDA Resource Centre v. Nigeria	ECOWAS (West Africa)	Pending	ECOWAS Court of Justice	Alleging that the Nigerian government's failure to stop gas flaring by oil companies in Nigeria violates Nigerians' human rights – particularly their rights to life, human dignity, health, and a general satisfactory environment – as well as domestic environmental law.
207	2021	Müllner v. Austria	European Court of Human Rights Human Rights	Pending	European Court of Human Rights	Alleging that the Austrian government's failure to pass measures to adequately reduce greenhouse gas emissions violates the plaintiff's right to private and family life through the severe impacts that climate-induced increased temperatures and heat waves has on him. Also alleging violations of the right to a fair hearing and the right to an effective remedy.
208	2021	Company Workers Union of Marítima Commercial Somarco Limited and Others v. Ministry of Energy	Chile	Granted	Supreme Court; Antofagasta Court of Appeal	Alleging that in the formulation and passage of the Chilean Energy Sector Decarbonization Plan, which provides for the closure of coal-fired power plants nationally over a period of time, the Chilean government failed to adequately consult workers, in violation of their constitutional rights, including their rights to labor, property, and equality before the law.
209	2021	Environmental Justice Australia (EJA) v. Australia	United Nations	Pending	United Nations Special Rapporteurs	Alleging that that the Australian government's current inadequate NDC and inaction on climate change violates the plaintiffs' human rights – including their right to access education, their right to health, their right to life, and their right to an adequate standard of living – as well as Australia's obligations under international climate and human rights law.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
210	2021	African Climate Alliance v. Minister of Energy [#CancelCoal Case]	South Africa	Pending	North Gauteng High Court	Challenging the government's decision to build 1500 MW of coal-fired power on the basis that it is inconsistent with the need to combat climate change and violates the plaintiffs' constitutional right to a healthy environment as well as other constitutional rights.
211	2021	Lawyers for Climate Action NZ v. Climate Change Commission	New Zealand	Dismissed	High Court of New Zealand	Challenging the emission budgets set by the New Zealand government as inadequate, on the basis that the government made certain errors of law in applying certain reports; misinterpreted the statutory purpose of setting proposed emission budgets; erred in adopting a "modified activity-based" approach to accounting for emissions in the budget and in its approach to offshore mitigation; and ultimately created emission budgets that were irrational, unreasonable, and inconsistent with the purpose of the Act.
212	2021	South Durban Community Environmental Alliance v. Minister of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries	South Africa	Dismissed	High Court of South Africa	Challenging the government's decision to issue oil exploration licenses off the shore of South Africa, on the basis that the exploratory drilling would damage delicate marine ecosystems and harm marine species and that the decision didn't comply with applicable environmental and administrative law requirements, among other things.
213	2021	ClientEarth v. Belgian National Bank	Belgium	Pending	Brussels Court of First Instance	Alleging that the Belgian National Bank's repeated purchase of bonds from fossil fuel companies and other high emitters of greenhouse gases violates their climate, human rights, and environmental obligations.
214	2021	GroundWork v. Minister of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries	South Africa	Pending	High Court of South Africa	Challenging the government's decision to authorize the construction of the (gas-to-power) Richards Bay Combined Cycle Power Plant, on the basis that there was an inadequate assessment of the climate impacts, the need and desirability of the project, the alternatives, the cumulative environmental impacts, and the impacts of the coastal activities involved as well as inadequate public participation.
215	2021	Petition to the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights By Six Children of Cité Soleil, Haiti and Sakala Community Center for Peaceful Alternatives Concerning Violations of the American Convention on Human Rights	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	Pending	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	Alleging that the Haitian government's failure to dispose of toxic waste violates the plaintiff childrens' rights to dignity, a healthy environment, and judicial protection. The complaint discusses how climate change has exacerbated the harms by causing displacement and increasing the incidence of water-borne illnesses.
216	2021	Communication under Article 15 of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court regarding the Commission of Crimes Against Humanity against Environmental Dependents and Defenders in the Brazilian Legal Amazon from January 2019 to present, perpetrated by Brazilian President Jair Messias Bolsonaro and certain former and current principal actors of his administration	International Criminal Court	Pending	International Criminal Court	Alleging that Jair Bolsonaro and his administration's promotion and facilitation of the massive deforestation of the Amazon Rainforest represents a crime against humanity (given the enormous environmental impacts of this deforestation, especially with respect to the global climate system). Also alleging that environmental defenders and dependents in Brazil have been subject to crimes against humanity by virtue of the severe deprivation of their right to a healthy environment and other human rights.
217	2021	Pabai v. Australia	Australia	Pending	Federal Court of Australia	Alleging that the Australian government's setting of a 2030 emission reduction target that is inconsistent with the best available science and with limiting global warming to the temperature target established by the Paris Agreement and the fact that the government is not on track to meet even this goal will contribute to climate impacts that will severely impact Torres Strait Islanders, in violation of the duty of care the government owes to the Torres Strait Islanders.
218	2021	National Conservation Council v. New South Wales	Australia	Pending	New South Wales Land and Environment Court	Alleging that the Border Rivers water plan is invalid because it fails to properly consider climate change. Using the rights of children and future generations to healthy river systems as a basis for their claims.
219	2021	McGaughey v. Universities Superannuation Scheme Ltd.	United Kingdom	Pending	High Court of Justice: Business and Property; Court of Appeal	Alleging, among other claims, that, through the directors of the Universities Superannuation Scheme Ltd, the company breached its fiduciary / statutory duties in failing to come up with credible plan to divest from fossil fuels, which has and will continue to prejudice the success of the company.

Num ber	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
220	2021	Attorney General v. Crosland	United Kingdom	Granted	Supreme Court	The state pursued a charge of criminal contempt against Timothy Crosland, who published the Supreme Court's decision reversing the lower court's decision to reverse the government's approval of the Heathrow airport expansion in Plan B Earth v. Secretary of State, in violation of an embargo placed on the result of the appeal.
221	2021	Komor v. United States II	United States	Pending	U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado	Alleging that though the defendants – the U.S. President and a host of federal officials – have known about the climate harms associated with burning fossil fuels and emitting greenhouse gases, they continue to escalate these activities, damaging the ecosystems on which the plaintiff relies for his life, liberty, and property – thus infringing on his constitutional rights.
222	2021	Instituto Preservar v. Copelmi Mineracao Ltda	Brazil	Granted	9th Federal Court, Judicial Section of the Rio Grande do Sul	Seeking the suspension of the permitting process for an open-pit coal mining project until procedural irregularities are addressed, including inadequate public participation, inadequate analysis of the Environmental Impact Assessment by IBAMA, and inadequate consideration of the risks and impacts of the project.
223	2021	Ministério Público Federal v. de Rezende	Brazil	Pending	7th Federal Court, Judicial Section of the Amazon	Alleging that the defendant Brazilian farmer is responsible for 2,488.56 hectares of illegal deforestation, in violation of applicable environmental law and the constitutional right to a healthy environment, and seeking damages as well as actions to remedy the harm.
224	2021	Laboratório do Observatório do Clima v. Minister of Environment	Brazil	Pending	7th Federal Environmental & Agrarian Court of the Judiciary Section of Amazonas	Alleging that the Brazilian government has failed to satisfy the climate obligations it took on by signing the Paris Agreement, in particular by advancing a new Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) that regresses in terms of emission reduction commitments, and, in doing so, violates Brazilians' fundamental rights.
225	2021	Klimatická žaloba ČR v. Minister of the Environment	Czech Republic	Dismissed	Prague Municipal Court; Supreme Administrative Court	Alleging that the Czech government's failure to take adequate actions to address climate change violate the plaintiffs' rights to life, health, environment, property, and private and family life, among others.
226	2021	Border Deep Sea Angling Association v. Shell	South Africa	Dismissed	Grahamstown High Cour	Challenging Shell's plan to conduct seismic testing off of South Africa's Wild Coast, which poses threat of harm to whales and other marine wildlife and their habitat, on the basis that the oil company failed to adequately conduct and Environmental Impact Assessment.
227	2021	Metz v. Wintershall Dea AG	Germany	Pending	District Court of Kassel	Alleging that the defendant fossil fuel company must reduce its greenhouse gas emissions because its continual consumption of the global carbon dioxide budget contributes to climate change and will limit what the plaintiffs will be able to do in the future (limit their freedom), in violation of their fundamental rights.
228	2021	Deutsche Umwelthilfe (DUH) v. Bayern	Germany	Dismissed	Bavarian Administrative Court	Challenging the state of Bayern for failing to implement an adequate climate law, in violation of its obligations under state and federal law.
229	2021	Lemme v. Bayern (Constitutional Claim)	Germany	Dismissed	Federal Constitutional Court	Alleging that the state's inadequately developed climate law violations the plaintiffs' constitutional rights and demanding that the state adopt more specific and ambitious emission reduction targets.
230	2021	Lemme v. Bayern (Subsidiary Claim)	Germany	Pending	Bavarian Constitutional Court	Alleging that the state's inadequately developed climate law violations the plaintiffs' constitutional rights and demanding that the state adopt more specific and ambitious emission reduction targets.
231	2021	Deutsche Umwelthilfe (DUH) v. BMW	Germany	Pending	District Court of Munich	Alleging that BMW's consumption of the finite global carbon dioxide budget through its activities and its continued sale of cars with fossil fuel-driven internal combustion engines infringes upon the plaintiff's fundamental rights and impinges upon individuals' future freedom.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
232	2021	Deutsche Umwelthilfe (DUH) v. Mercedes-Benz AG	Germany	Pending	Higher Regional Court of Stuttgart; District Court of Stuttgart	Alleging that Mercedes-Benz's consumption of the finite global carbon dioxide budget through its activities and its continued sale of cars with fossil fuel-driven internal combustion engines infringes upon the plaintiff's fundamental rights and impinges upon individuals' future freedom.
233	2021	Kiehm v. Brandenburg	Germany	Dismissed	Federal Constitutional Court	Alleging that the state of Brandenburg's failure to adopt an adequate climate law with sufficiently specific emission reduction targets violates the plaintiffs' fundamental rights and unlawfully impinges upon their future freedom.
234	2021	Salis v. Sachsen-Anhalt	Germany	Dismissed	Federal Constitutional Court	Alleging that the state of Sachsen-Anhalt's failure to adopt an adequate climate law with sufficiently specific emission reduction targets violates the plaintiffs' fundamental rights and unlawfully impinges upon their future freedom.
235	2021	Runge v. Sachsen	Germany	Dismissed	Federal Constitutional Court	Alleging that the state of Sachsen's failure to adopt an adequate climate law with sufficiently specific emission reduction targets violates the plaintiffs' fundamental rights and unlawfully impinges upon their future freedom.
236	2021	Frank v. Saarland	Germany	Dismissed	Federal Constitutional Court	Alleging that the state of Saarland's failure to adopt an adequate climate law with sufficiently specific emission reduction targets violates the plaintiffs' fundamental rights and unlawfully impinges upon their future freedom.
237	2021	Hochstadt v. Hessen	Germany	Dismissed	Federal Constitutional Court	Alleging that the state of Hesse's failure to adopt an adequate climate law with sufficiently specific emission reduction targets violates the plaintiffs' fundamental rights and unlawfully impinges upon their future freedom.
238	2021	Hoffman v. Mecklenburg-Vorpommern	Germany	Dismissed	Federal Constitutional Court	Alleging that the state of Mecklenburg-Vorpommern's failure to adopt an adequate climate law with sufficiently specific emission reduction targets violates the plaintiffs' fundamental rights and unlawfully impinges upon their future freedom.
239	2021	Krüßmann v. Nordrhein-Westfalen	Germany	Dismissed	Federal Constitutional Court	Alleging that the inadequacy updated climate plan for Nordrhein-Westfalen, both in terms of emission reduction ambition and its distribution over time, violates the plaintiffs' fundamental rights and unlawfully impinges upon their future freedom.
240	2021	Rade v. Baden-Württemberg	Germany	Dismissed	Federal Constitutional Court	Alleging that the state of Baden-Württemberg's failure to adopt an adequate climate law with sufficiently specific emission reduction targets violates the plaintiffs' fundamental rights and unlawfully impinges upon their future freedom.
241	2021	Feind v. Lower Saxony (Niedersachsen)	Germany	Dismissed	Federal Constitutional Court	Alleging that the state of Lower Saxony's (Niedersachsen) failure to adopt an adequate climate law with sufficiently specific emission reduction targets violates the plaintiffs' fundamental rights and unlawfully impinges upon their future freedom.
242	2021	Greenpeace España v. Spain II	Spain	Pending	Supreme Court	Challenging the National Integrated Climate and Energy Plan, approved by the Ministers of the Spanish government, on the basis that it does not establish emission reduction targets consistent with IPCC science or the Paris Agreement and thereby does not respect human rights, including future generations' right to a healthy environment. Also challenged the Plan on the basis that it failed to comply with public participation requirements and that the government failed to undertake a required environmental impact assessment.
243	2021	Decision No. 2021-825 DC ['In re Climate Resilience Bill']	France	Dismissed	Constitutional Council	Alleging that a bill to address climate change and strengthen resilience would be unconstitutional if passed, as it would infringe upon the right to a healthy and ecologically balanced environment.
244	2021	Greenpeace v. National Institute of Ecology and Climate Change	Mexico	Dismissed	Eleventh Collegiate Court of the First Circuit in Administrative Matters	Challenging Mexico's revised Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) on the basis that it violates international law, including human rights principles.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
245	2021	Women from Huasco v. the Government of Chile, Ministry of Energy, Environment and Health	Chile	Pending	Supreme Court; Court of Appeal of Copiapo	Alleging that the decision to only close a coal fired power plant in 2040 violates their constitutional rights to life, to live in an environment free of pollution, and equality before the law.
246	2021	Carême v. France	France	Pending	European Court of Human Rights	Challenging a council of state judgment that plaintiff lacked the interest to sue the government based on climate action under Articles 2 (right to life) and 8 (right to respect for private life and family life and home) of the European Convention on Human Rights.
247	2021	Shailendra Prasad Ambedkar v. Office of Prime Minister et al.	Nepal	Granted	Supreme Court (Constitutional Bench)	Challenging a national budget ordinance that provides for the mining of stone, gravel, and sand in Terai and Madhesh on the basis that it degrades ecologically important areas and undermines climate resilience and so, as a result, violates the constitutional right to a healthy environment.
248	2021	Sustaining the Wild Coast v. Shell	South Africa	Granted	High Court of South Africa, Eastern Cape Division	Challenging Shell's plan to conduct seismic testing off of South Africa's Wild Coast, which poses threat of harm to whales and other marine wildlife and their habitat, on the basis that the oil company failed to adequately conduct and Environmental Impact Assessment.
249	2021	Julia Habana v. Mexico (Unconstitutionality of the reform to the Electric Industry Law)	Mexico	Dismissed	Supreme Court; First Circuit Collegiate Tribunal; District Court in Administrative Matters;	Alleging that the amendment of Mexico's Electric Industry Law violates the constitutional right to a healthy environment and that Mexico's NDC under the Paris Agreement by giving preference to electricity generated by coal and fuel oil-fired power plants over renewables.
250	2021	Nuestros Derechos al Futuro y Medio Ambiente Sano et. al., v. Mexico (Unconstitutionality of the reform to the Electric Industry Law)	Mexico	Pending	First Circuit Collegiate Tribunal; District Court in Administrative Matters	Alleging that the amendment of Mexico's Electric Industry Law violates the constitutional right to a healthy environment and the obligation to transition from fossil fuels to renewable energy in the generation of electricity found in Article 25 of the Constitution, in conjunction with the 17th and 18th transitory articles of the Decree of Constitutional Energy Reforms of 2013.
251	2021	Greenpeace East Asia v. Ministry of Economic Affairs	Taiwan	Pending	Taipei Administrative Court	Alleging that the agency's Regulation for Large Power Consumers was not ambitious enough, in violation of the country's climate laws.
252	2021	Fliegenschnee v. Federal Ministry for Digitalisation and Business Location, Austria	Austria	Dismissed	Constitutional Court; Administrative Court of Vienna	Demanding that the Minister for Digitalisation and Business Location issue an ordinance establishing a gradual ban on the sale of fossil fuels in Austria.
253	2021	The Norwegian Grandparents' Climate Campaign and others v. Norway	Norway	Pending	European Court of Human Rights	Challenging the granting of ten petroleum licenses in the Barents Sea under Articles 2, 3, 8, and 13 of the Convention and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 to the Convention.
254	2021	De Conto v. Italy and 32 other States	European Court of Human Rights	Pending	European Court of Human Rights	Alleging that the 33 States have not taken sufficient measures to implement the Paris Agreement, resulting in plaintiff's psychological distress.
255	2021	Regina v. Brown	United Kingdom	Granted	England and Wales Court of Appeal	A climate activist with Extinction Rebellion was arrested for gluing himself to an aircraft at the London city airport. He was initially convicted of public nuisance and sentenced to 1 year in prison, which he appealed, invoking, in part, human rights arguments and protections. The defendant's sentence was ultimately reduced to 4 months.
256	2021	Kaiser v. Volkswagen AG	Germany	Dismissed	Regional Court of Detmold	Alleging that Volkswagen, as the world's second largest car manufacturer, is partly responsible for the damage climate change has caused to the plaintiff's farm in the form of droughts and heavy rains, violating his personal liberty and property rights.
257	2021	ONG Costa Legal v. Municipality of Florianópolis	Brazil	Pending	Federal Tribunal, Santa Catarina Judicial Section	Alleging that the local government needs to take adequate action to protect the Conceição Lagoon (located in Florianópolis) and that the defendant government entities' failure to do so represents an unconstitutional state of affairs, including with respect to environmental rights, in part because it undermines local climate resilience.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
258	2021	Direct Action of Unconstitutionality (ADI) 6932 (on Electrobras)	Brazil	Pending	Supreme Federal Court	Challenging a law that governs the privatization of Electrobras, the national electric company, as well as the Brazilian electricity sector on the basis that it undermines decarbonization efforts, is inconsistent with climate obligations (including those under the Paris Agreement), and violates constitutional obligations to protect the climate.
259	2021	Uricchio v. Italy and 32 other States	European Court of Human Rights	Pending	European Court of Human Rights	Alleging that the 33 states have not taken sufficient measures to implement Articles 2, 8, 13 and 14 of the Paris Agreement, resulting in plaintiffs' severe allergies and psychological distress.
260	2021	Complaint to SUHAKAM for public inquiry into haze pollution as a human rights violation	Malaysia	Pending	National Human Rights Commission	Requesting an inquiry into haze pollution as a human rights violation, noting that the burning of peatlands is also a significant source of GHG emissions.
261	2021	ClientEarth on behalf of M.G. v. Poland	Poland	Pending	Court of Appeal in Białystok	Alleging that the government, by its acts and omissions relating to greenhouse gas emissions, had failed to adequately prevent climate change in contravention of the plaintiff's constitutional and fundamental human rights.
262	2021	Mejillones Tourist Service Association and others with the Environmental Evaluation Service (SEA) of Antofagasta	Chile	Decided	Supreme Court of Chile	Alleging that the environmental agency's failure to account for climate change factors in its assessment of a thermoelectric power plant violated the plaintiffs' property and constitutional rights, including the rights to life, to equality before the law, and to live in an environment free of pollution.
263	2021	Plan B Earth and Others v. Prime Minister	United Kingdom	Dismissed	High Court of Justice	Arguing that the government's climate inaction and failure to uphold its obligations under the Paris Agreement violated the plaintiffs' human rights, including the rights to life, private and family life, and protection from discrimination.
264	2021	ClientEarth (on behalf of M.S.) v. Poland	Poland	Pending	District Court	Alleging that the government, by its acts and omissions relating to greenhouse gas emissions, had failed to adequately prevent climate change in contravention of the plaintiff's constitutional and fundamental human rights.
265	2021	ClientEarth (on behalf of P.N.) v. Poland	Poland	Pending	District Court	Alleging that the government, by its acts and omissions relating to greenhouse gas emissions, had failed to adequately prevent climate change in contravention of the plaintiff's constitutional and fundamental human rights.
266	2021	ClientEarth (on behalf of P.R.) v. Poland	Poland	Pending	District Court	Alleging that the government, by its acts and omissions relating to greenhouse gas emissions, had failed to adequately prevent climate change in contravention of the plaintiff's constitutional and fundamental human rights.
267	2021	ClientEarth (on behalf of M.O.) v. Poland	Poland	Pending	District Court	Alleging that the government, by its acts and omissions relating to greenhouse gas emissions, had failed to adequately prevent climate change in contravention of the plaintiff's constitutional and fundamental human rights.
268	2021	Acción de Inconstitucionalidad 64/2021, Challenge to the constitutionality of amendments to the rules governing Clean Energy Certificates	Mexico	Decided	Supreme Court	Challenging the constitutionality of amendments to Mexico's Electric Industry Act.
269	2021	Coöperatie Mobilisation for the Environment U.A and others v. Executive Board of Province of North Holland	Netherlands	Decided	District Court	Challenging permits awarded to a new biomass facility, citing concerns over harmful emissions, particularly nitrogen oxide (NOx), fine particulate matter (PM2.5), and CO2, and arguing that the facility would emit more CO2 per unit of energy than a coal plant, would worsen global warming, and would violate the Paris Agreement, EU law, and the national Climate Law.
270	2021	State ADI 0804739-62.2021.8.22.0000 (Jaci-Paraná Extractive Reserve and Guajará-Mirim State Park)	Brazil	Decided	Rondônia State Court	Challenging as unconstitutional a law reducing the area of the Jaci-Paraná Extractive Reserve and Guajará-Mirim State Parks and replacing them with new protected areas on the grounds that the change undermines environmental protection, harms traditional populations, and violates constitutional rights to a balanced environment.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
271	2021	Allhoff-Cramer v. Volkswagen AG	Germany	Decided	Regional Court of Detmold	Alleging that Volkswagen, as the world's second largest car manufacturer, is partly responsible for the damage climate change has caused to the plaintiff's farm in the form of droughts and heavy rains, violating his rights to personal liberty, health, and property.
272	2021	Byung-In Kim et al. v. South Korea	South Korea	Pending	Constitutional Court	Challenging as unconstitutional the South Korean government's inadequate climate protection under the Carbon Neutrality Act, arguing that the national climate target of reducing emissions by "more than 35% from 2018 levels" violates fundamental rights, including the right to life, health, and a safe environment.
273	2021	State ADI 0007238-31.2021.8.21.7000 (Eldorado do Sul Master Plan)	Brazil	Decided	Rio Grande do Sul State Court	Challenging as unconstitutional a municipal law on the grounds that it was approved without public participation, violating constitutional rights, and weakened environmental protections.
274	2022	Deutsche Umwelthilfe (DUH) v. Germany	Germany	Pending	Berlin-Brandenburg Higher Administrative Court	Alleging that the action plan developed by the Federal Ministry for Digital and Transport to implement the federal climate law is inadequate insofar as it doesn't sufficiently reduce greenhouse gas emissions and doesn't make specific necessary changes to transport policy.
275	2022	Greenpeace Argentina v. Argentina	Argentina	Pending	Supreme Court of Argentina; Federal Chamber of Mar del Plata; Federal Court, Mar del Plata;	Challenging the government of Argentina's decision to allow the company Equinor to seismic blast for fossil fuels off the coast of Argentina, arguing that the decision is invalid and unconstitutional because of the environmental, biodiversity, and climate damage it would generate and because it infringes upon the rights to life, health, quality of life, and healthy environment and the principle of intergenerational equity.
276	2022	Kang et al. v. KSURE and KEXIM	South Korea	Pending	Seoul Central District Court	Challenging two Korean public financial institutions' plan to provide financial support to a development project for a fossil gas reserve near the Tiwi Islands, arguing that the project will cause significant environmental harm and that Tiwi Islanders were not adequately consulted.
277	2022	Conectas Human Rights v. BNDES	Brazil	Pending	Federal District Court (Brasilia)	Alleging that the failure Brazilian National Development Bank (BNDES) to develop and implement plans / protocols to assess and address the impacts of climate change on its investments -- as well as the way its investments fund climate harming activities -- violates applicable climate obligations under domestic and international climate and human rights law.
278	2022	Steinmetz v. Germany	Germany	Pending	Federal Constitutional Court	Alleging that the new reduction path of the amended Federal Climate Protection Act continues to infringe on fundamental rights as it will use up large parts of Germany's CO2 budget and lacks clear obligations on, and coordinated efforts across, the federal states.
279	2022	Rege Legalità per il Clima (Legality for Climate Network) and others v. ENI	Italy	Pending	OECD National Contact Point	Alleging that the business plan pursued by the oil company ENI is inadequate, specifically highlighting that ENI's actions run contrary to its stated goal of net zero emissions by 2050. Claims that ENI's actions violate substantive principles enshrined in the OECD Guidelines, including respect for human rights.
280	2022	Rege Legalità per il Clima (Legality for Climate Network) v. Intensive livestock farming multinational companies operating in Italy	Italy	Pending	OECD National Contact Point	Claiming that the practice of intensive livestock farming -- which contributes to climate change by generating large quantities of various greenhouse gases -- is incompatible with the climate emergency and violates the OECD Guidelines, which requires respect for human rights.
281	2022	Friends of the Earth v. Secretary of State for Business Energy and Industrial Strategy	United Kingdom	Granted	High Court of Justice (England and Wales)	Alleging that decarbonization strategies adopted by the UK Secretary of State violate the Climate Change Act of 2008 and that the Heat and Buildings Strategy violates human rights obligations set out in the Equality Act of 2010 by failing to consider the impact of its strategies on people with protected characteristics, such as people of color, people with disabilities, and older people, who are disproportionately impacted by energy poverty.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
282	2022	Guillermo Tristan Montenegro v. Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development	Argentina	Pending	Supreme Court of Argentina; Federal Court, Mar del Plata	Challenging the government of Argentina's decision to allow the company Equinor to conduct seismic blasting for fossil fuels off the coast of Argentina, arguing that the project violates the right to environmental information and participation in decision-making processes and undermines Argentina's international climate commitments. Also alleging that there is regulatory incoherence between the project and climate pledges.
283	2022	Organización de Ambientalistas Organizados v. Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development	Argentina	Pending	Supreme Court of Argentina; Federal Court, Mar del Plata	Challenging the government of Argentina's decision to allow the company Equinor to conduct seismic blasting for fossil fuels off the coast of Argentina, arguing that the project is incompatible with Argentina's international agreements on climate. Main focus of the claim is the protection of the Southern Right Whale.
284	2022	Layla H. v. Commonwealth of Virginia	United States	Pending	Virginia Circuit Court; Court of Appeals of Virginia	Alleging that the Commonwealth of Virginia and state agencies and officials' policy permitting of fossil fuel infrastructure caused and contributes to the climate crisis and caused injuries to the plaintiffs. Plaintiffs claim that this policy violates their substantive due process rights under the Virginia Constitution.
285	2022	Komor v. United States III	United States	Dismissed	Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; District Court of Arizona	Alleging that U.S. public officials knowingly adopted policies contributing to climate change in violation of plaintiff's Fifth Amendment right to life, liberty, and property; equal protection principles embedded in the Fifth Amendment; and unenumerated rights preserved for the people by the Ninth Amendment.
286	2022	Natalie R. v. State	United States	Dismissed	Utah District Court	Alleging that the State of Utah and other State defendants violated the plaintiffs' substantive due process rights to life and liberty under the Utah Constitution by maximizing, promoting, and systematically authorizing the development of fossil fuels, contributing to climate change.
287	2022	Fresh Air for the Eastside, Inc. v. New York	United States	Pending	Supreme Court of New York (trial court)	Alleging that the operation of a landfill violates plaintiffs' rights to clean air and a healthy environment under the N.Y. Constitution due to its GHG emissions and climate impacts.
288	2022	Navahine F. v. Hawai'i Department of Transport	United States	Settled	Hawai'i Circuit Court	Alleging that the establishment, operation, and maintenance of the Hawai'i's state transportation system violates the Hawai'i Constitution's public trust doctrine and infringes on the right to a clean and healthful environment provided by the Hawai'i Constitution.
289	2022	835 Hinesburg Road, LLC, v. City of South Burlington	United States	Pending	Vermont District Court	Alleging that the city's creation and regulation of development in "Habitat Blocks" would contribute to greenhouse gas emissions due to increased distances that employees would have to travel to get to work since housing wouldn't be available close to places of employment. Alleging violation of equal protection and due process rights.
290	2022	Indonesian Youths and Others v. Indonesia	Indonesia	Pending	Indonesian National Human Rights Commission	Alleging that the Indonesian government violated plaintiffs' constitutionally protected human rights by not taking necessary adaptation and mitigation measures to prevent warming above 1.5°C.
291	2022	FOCSIV and Others v. FCA Italy	Netherlands	Pending	OECD National Contact Point	Alleging the lack of disclosure of information on supply chain control in relation to the risk of human rights violations by cobalt suppliers in the Democratic Republic of Congo for use in electric cars violated OECD guidelines.
292	2022	Smith v. Attorney-General	New Zealand	Granted	High Court of New Zealand	Alleging that the government of New Zealand has failed to incorporate international obligations into domestic law, reduce carbon emissions produced by the government, and set an appropriate emissions trading caps while closing loopholes.
293	2022	Ecodefense v. Russia	Russia	Pending	Supreme Court of the Russian Federation	Alleging that Russia's insufficient measures on climate change are violating the Russian constitution and the European Convention on Human Rights.
294	2022	Complaint to the European Ombudsman on oil and gas imports from Russia	European Union	Pending	European Ombudsman	Alleging that the EU has failed to conduct several key assessments in relation to its plan to reduce reliance on Russian fossil fuel imports, including the human rights impact of the war in Ukraine and the climate crisis.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
295	2022	Soubeste v. Austria and 11 Other States	European Court of Human Rights	Pending	European Court of Human Rights	Alleging that the Energy Charter Treaty ratified by all twelve respondent states inhibits the states from attaining the goals of the Paris Agreement, thereby violating plaintiffs' rights under the European Convention of Human Rights.
296	2022	Humane Being v. the United Kingdom	United Kingdom	Dismissed	European Court of Human Rights	Alleging the UK government has breached the European Convention on Human Rights by failing to address the risks of the climate crisis, future pandemics, and antibiotic resistance created by factory farming.
297	2022	Asmania et al. v. Holcim	Switzerland	Pending	Justice of the Peace of the Canton of Zug	Alleging that Swiss-based major building company Holcim is civilly liable for climate change related damages in the island of Pari. Demanding compensation and GHG emission reductions.
298	2022	Marte v. City of New York	United States	Pending	Appellate Division of the Supreme Court, First Judicial Department; Supreme Court of New York (trial court)	Alleging that the development of three skyscrapers on Manhattan's lower east side required a supplemental impact statement to examine the impact of construction on green gas emissions and climate change per the state constitution's Environmental Rights Amendment and the State Environmental Quality Review Act.
299	2022	Anton Foley and others v. Sweden (Aurora Case)	Sweden	Pending	Nacka District Court	A class action challenging the procedural implementation and substantive sufficiency of Sweden's mitigation policy as in violation of Sections 2, 8, and 14 of the European Convention on Human Rights.
300	2022	Iten ELC Petition No. 007 of 2022 – Legal Advice Centre T/A Kituo cha Sheria & Anor v. Attorney General and 7 Others	Kenya	Pending	The Environment and Land Court of Iten	Alleging massive displacement and loss of life and property due to the state's failure to anticipate and mitigate climate-related flood risks in Kenya's Lake Baringo, in violation of the plaintiffs' rights under the Kenyan Constitution and the Climate Change Act of 2016.
301	2022	RWE and Uniper v. Netherlands (Ministry of Climate and Energy)	Netherlands	Dismissed	Hague District Court	Alleging that the Dutch state's Prohibition of Coal in Electricity Act violated their property rights under Article 1, Protocol 1 of the European Convention of Human Rights.
302	2022	Residents of Omkoi v. Expert Committee on EIA Consideration and the Office of Natural Resources and Environmental Policy and Planning	Thailand	Pending	Chaing Mai Administrative Court	Alleging the falsification of an Environmental Impact Assessment and that the approval of a coal mining project in the Kaboedin community violates the right to live in a good and safe environment for the health of the people. The plaintiffs highlighted the climate change harm that would come from burning coal from the mine.
303	2022	The Two Hundred for Homeownership v. California Air Resources Board	United States	Pending	Eastern District Court of California	Challenging California's regulation requiring all new cars and light trucks sold in California to be zero-emission vehicles by 2035 as a violation of due process and equal protection for minority and low income Californians.
304	2022	Oregon Manufacturers & Commerce v. Oregon Occupational Safety & Health Division	United States	Dismissed	District Court of Oregon	Challenge to Oregon regulations related to employee exposure to wildfire smoke and temperatures exceeding 80 degrees Fahrenheit that were adopted in response to an executive order regarding climate change. The plaintiffs alleged that the regulations violated their due process rights because the rules were too vague to provide fair notice to employers and that the rules exceeded the agencies' authority.
305	2022	Institute of Amazonian Studies v. Federal Union and Federal Environmental Agencies (IBAMA and ICMBio) (Chico Mendes Extraction Reserve)	Brazil	Pending	2nd Federal Civil and Criminal Court of the Judiciary Section of Acre	Alleging that the federal government, IBAMA, and ICMBio are not fulfilling their duty of management and control and are contributing to the damage to the RESEX and the community by allowing deforestation and worsening climate change.
306	2022	Request for an advisory opinion on the scope of the state obligations for responding to the climate emergency	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	N/A	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	Asking the court to clarify the scope of state obligations to confront climate emergencies within the context of the Inter-American system of human rights.
307	2022	In re Hawai'i Elec. Light Co.	United States	Dismissed	Hawai'i Supreme Court	Appealing the Public Utilities Commission's denial of its biomass power plants, arguing that the PUC exceeded the scope of its remand from the high court, violated its due process rights, and exceeded the bounds of HRS Chapter 269 by considering displacement of renewable energy.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
308	2022	Finnish Association for Nature Conservation and Greenpeace v. Finland	Finland	Dismissed	Supreme Administrative Court	Claiming that the Finnish government has ignored its own climate laws by failing to protect its carbon sinks, making the climate policy insufficient to meet the Climate Act's target.
309	2022	ADI 7332 (Policy for "just energy transition" of the State of Santa Catarina)	Brazil	Pending	Federal Supreme Court	Challenging the continued use of coal-fired thermoelectric plants in the Policy for Just Energy Transition of the State of Santa Catarina as unconstitutional for failing to comply with constitutional rights to participatory democracy, equality, health, and a balanced environment, among other claims.
310	2022	District Court Mönchengladbach-Rheydt, Urt. v. 14.3.2022 – 21 Cs – 721 Js 44/22 – 69/22	Germany	Dismissed	District Court of Mönchengladbach-Rheydt	Prosecution of a defendant charged with trespassing on the site of a lignite mine. The District Court determined that climate change was an issue of "paramount importance," such that the defendants may exercise their freedom of conscience by protesting climate damaging activity.
311	2022	Municipalities of Puerto Rico v. Exxon Mobil Corp.	United States	Pending	U.S. District Court for the District of Puerto Rico	Alleging that the defendants' marketing and misrepresentation of the climate risks associated with fossil fuels has imposed serious harms on the citizens of Puerto Rico, including on their enjoyment of basic human rights. Alleging that the defendant fossil fuel companies' years-long campaign of misrepresentation and deception concerning climate change and the role of fossil fuels violates federal and Puerto Rico consumer protection rules, anticompetitive practices, racketeering statutes, and common law. The plaintiffs allege "consumer fraud, racketeering, antitrust, fraudulent misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation, negligent fraudulent concealment, conspiracy to defraud, products liability, strict liability failure to warn, negligent failure to warn, and unjust enrichment."
312	2022	Kvelde v. Government of New South Wales	Australia	Granted	Supreme Court of New South Wales	Alleging that an amendment to the Crimes Act is unconstitutional as it impermissibly burdens peaceful public protest -- including climate protest -- in violation of constitutional rights.
313	2022	In re Impact Assessment Act	Canada	Granted	Supreme Court of Canada; Court of Appeal of Alberta	Challenging the federal government's Impact Assessment Act, which imposes new regulations on designated activities -- including certain energy and mining projects -- that may generate adverse environmental consequences as an unconstitutional overreach of the federal government's power vis-à-vis provincial governments.
314	2022	Consejo Indígena del Pirá Paraná ("Pirá Paraná Indigenous Council") v. Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development	Colombia	Pending	Constitutional Court of Colombia	Challenging a carbon credit project occurring in the Indigenous plaintiffs' territory in the Colombian Amazon as a violation of their fundamental rights, including the rights to cultural integrity, self-determination, cultural integrity, and self-government as well as their territorial rights.
315	2022	Instituto Internacional Arayara v. National Electrical Energy Agency	Brazil	Pending	Second Regional Federal Tribunal	Challenging the grant by IBAMA of environmental licences to thermoelectric projects as inconsistent with applicable environmental and rights law.
316	2022	Instituto Internacional Arayara v. IBAMA	Brazil	Pending	Federal Judicial Court for the Federal District	Challenging an electricity regulation that increases the availability of gas-fired power, which the plaintiff alleges is inconsistent with rights and obligations to act on climate change.
317	2022	Direct Action of Unconstitutionality (ADI) 7095	Brazil	Pending	Supreme Federal Court	Challenging a law subsidizing small-scale public service concession holders and creating the Just Transition Energy Program as unconstitutional on the basis that it extends the use of fossil fuel powered-electricity, contradicting climate obligations.
318	2022	Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors	United States	Pending	U.S. District Court for the Central District of California	Challenging a government agency's decision to deny a project permit to truck in crude oil from offshore platforms as a violation, inter alia, of the plaintiff fossil fuel company's constitutional property rights.
319	2022	CANA Foundation v. US Department of the Interior	United States	Pending	U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada	Challenging a decision by the US Bureau of Land Management to gather and remove wild, free-roaming horses from Herd Management Areas as a violation of applicable environmental law and the plaintiffs' First Amendment right to observe and document the horse gathering as well as has having inadequately considered relevant information, including climate change priorities.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
320	2022	HOPE of Kentucky, LLC v. Cameron	United States	Pending	Kentucky Circuit Court	Challenging subpoenas and investigations by the Kentucky Attorney General as an extreme overreach of his constitutional authority and as a violation of the plaintiff's first amendment rights. The investigations and subpoenas by the state attorney general were issued as part of a campaign to undermine environmental social governance ("ESG") and the consideration of socioenvironmental factors -- like climate impacts -- in lending and financial decisions.
321	2022	Better Path Coalition Planning Group v. City of Harrisburg	United States	Granted	U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania	Alleging that the defendant city of Harrisburg's imposition of excessive fees and procedures for use of streets and street adjacent areas ("traditional public forums") for the plaintiffs' intended organization of a climate change awareness event violates the plaintiffs' constitutional rights to freedom of speech.
322	2022	Glen Oaks Village Owners, Inc. v. City of New York	United States	Pending	Supreme Court of New York (trial court)	Challenging a New York City law that caps greenhouse gas emissions for existing buildings as a violation of due process rights protected under the U.S. and New York state constitutions.
323	2022	Invenergy Thermal LLC v. Watson	United States	Pending	U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington	Challenging the provision of local, in-state no-cost energy allowances under Washington state's Climate Commitment Act as a violation of the rights, privileges, and immunities protected under the U.S. Constitution Commerce Clause and Equal Protection Clause.
324	2022	Engels v. Germany	European Court of Human Rights	Pending	European Court of Human Rights	Alleging, based on Articles 2 and 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights, that the new German Climate Protection Act is insufficient to reduce greenhouse gas emissions as needed to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement, in violation of the state's human rights obligations.
325	2022	Greenpeace v. Ministry of Energy and Others (on the Energy Sector Program 2022)	Mexico	Pending	District Court in Administrative Matters for Mexico City	Alleging that the new Energy Sector Program 2022 violates human rights (including the right to a healthy environment) because it undermines the use of renewable energy while supporting fossil fuel-based energy, has disproportionate impacts on marginalized communities, and overall fails to advance a just transition.
326	2022	Greenpeace Italy v. ENI S.p.A.	Italy	Pending	Civil Court of Rome	Alleging that defendants are liable for moral and material damages to their life, health, and properties caused by climate change, which defendants are responsible for due to the ENI corporation's greenhouse gas emissions.
327	2022	Province of Sindh through Chief Minister v. Sartaj Hyder (Floods Case) [Civil Petitions No. 943-954-K of 2022]	Pakistan	Granted	Supreme Court of Pakistan; High Court of Sindh	Challenging court orders that created citizen committees, headed by local judges, to oversee disaster relief efforts following the devastating floods of 2022. Justice Shah wrote a concurring opinion to underscore the threat climate change poses to fundamental rights, calling on the government to step up its adaptation efforts.
328	2022	Indigenous leaders of AIDSESEP and FECONAU v. Louis Dreyfus Company B.V	OECD	Pending	The Netherlands National Contact Point	Alleging that the Louis Dreyfus Company failed to conduct due diligence to identify, prevent, and mitigate human rights and environmental impacts caused by its Peruvian supplier, which unlawfully deforested and appropriated indigenous land in the Amazon.
329	2022	Climate Action Campaign v. City of San Diego	United States	Settled	California Superior Court	Challenging the city's 2022 Climate Action Plan for failing to include mechanisms to ensure that its objectives would be achieved, thereby implicating the city residents' public rights.
330	2022	Renew 81 for All v. New York State Department of Transportation	United States	Granted	Supreme Court of New York (trial court)	Challenging a highway project on the basis that emissions from the project would violate New York environmental law and the petitioner's environmental rights.
331	2022	Sierra Club Canada Foundation et al. v. Minister of Environment and Climate Change Canada et al.	Canada	Pending	Supreme Court of Canada	Challenging the Minister of the Environment's approval of an offshore oil project based on a failure to consult indigenous communities and the failure of the project's environmental impact assessment to consider downstream GHG emissions.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
332	2022	Instituto Verdeliz and others v. Portocem Geração de Energia S.A. and SEMACE	Brazil	Pending	Ceará Federal Court	Challenging the environmental licensing process for a thermoelectric plant and seeking suspension of the plant's license on the grounds that the Environmental Impact Study (EIA) process was deficient, inadequately considered health and climate impacts, disregarded water resources and socioeconomic effects, and failed to assess cumulative impacts; that the license contravened national energy policy and commitments to reduce greenhouse gases; and that the plant had failed to properly consult with the Anacé people as required by international law.
333	2022	Yeon-Tae Kim et al. v. Minister of Land, Infrastructure and Transport	South Korea	Pending	Seoul Administrative Court	Challenging the government's plans to build an airport on the grounds that the project was economically unfeasible, conflicted with carbon neutrality goals, and violated the State's constitutional duty to protect the environment for future generations.
334	2022	ADI 7146 (Regime of environmental protected areas in urban areas)	Brazil	Pending	Federal District Federal Court	Alleging that ADI 7146 unconstitutionally relaxed protections for urban protected areas (APPs) by increasing environmental risks and violating constitutional environmental rights and principles, and seeking a precautionary suspension of the law in addition to a declaration of unconstitutionality.
335	2022	In Re Tax Benefits for Aviation	Austria	Dismissed	Constitutional Court of Austria	Alleging that tax laws favoring aviation over rail transport violated the plaintiff's rights to equality, life, and private and family life, on the basis that they incentivized climate- and health-damaging behavior contrary to Austria's obligations to combat climate change.
336	2022	Survival International Italia (on behalf of Ayoreo Totobiegosode Indigenous People) v. Pasubio (Italian company in the leather sector)	OECD	Pending	OECD National Contact Point	Alleging that the defendant sourced its supplies from cattle ranching firms operating illegally within the territorial forests of the Ayoreo Totobiegosode Indigenous People, contributing to climate-worsening deforestation and violating the human rights of the Ayoreo Totobiegosode peoples.
337	2022	Greenpeace France and Others v. TotalEnergies SE and TotalEnergies Electricité et Gaz France	France	Pending	Court of Paris	Challenging TotalEnergies' misleading advertising campaign, arguing that Total's claims of achieving carbon neutrality by 2050 and promoting fossil gas and biofuels as environmentally friendly are false and mislead French consumers in violation of French Consumer and Environmental codes.
338	2022	Individuals v. Germany	Germany	Decided	Federal Constitutional Court	Arguing that the German legislature had failed to establish legal pathways to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, specifically by introducing a speed limit on highways, and that constitutional law required a fair and timely distribution of the burdens for reducing emissions to protect future rights and freedoms.
339	2022	ADPF 934 (Transfer of funds to PRODES Cerrado)	Brazil	Pending	Federal District Federal Court	Challenging as unconstitutional the government's failure to fund INPE's deforestation monitoring in the Cerrado, arguing this undermines Brazil's climate commitments and violates constitutional rights.
340	2022	Kia México v. Procuraduría Federal de Protección al Ambiente (PROFEPA)	Mexico	Decided	Federal Tribunal of Administrative Justice	Suing the government over fines for failure to comply with an environmental certification and emissions compliance standard for certain car models.
341	2022	Ncumcara Community Forest Management Association v. Environmental Commissioner	Namibia	Decided	High Court of Namibia	Challenging the issuance of a permit for oil drilling in the Kavango Delta, arguing that the drilling threatens the plaintiffs' rights, the region's freshwater supply, and endangers wildlife.
342	2022	Woodpecker et al. v. South Korea	South Korea	Pending	Constitutional Court	Challenging as unconstitutional South Korea's national climate target of a 40% reduction in emissions from 2018 levels, arguing this target violates their fundamental rights, including the right to life, health, and a safe environment, by depleting South Korea's carbon budget and failing to meet global climate goals.
343	2022	Valdivia Herrera v. Ministry of the Environment	Peru	Pending	Superior Court of Lima	Challenging the government's failure to address the retreat of Andean glaciers, which poses an environmental threat to much of the population, arguing that the government has a constitutional duty to protect the environment and citizens' right to a healthy environment and to address the climate emergency.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
344	2023	Declic v. Romania	Romania	Pending	Cluj Court of Appeals	Action against the Romanian government asking the court to order the authorities to take all necessary measures to reduce GHG emissions by 55% by 2030 compared to 1990 levels and to achieve climate neutrality by 2050 in order to meet general and specific obligations under the Romanian Constitution and European and domestic law.
345	2023	Children of Austria v. Austria	Austria	Dismissed	Constitutional Court of Austria	The plaintiffs alleged that climate change triggers positive obligations to drastically cut emissions under Art. 1 of the Federal Constitutional Act on the Rights of Children, as it poses an imminent danger to the well-being of present and future generations.
346	2023	Request for an advisory opinion on the obligation of states with respect to climate change	International Court of Justice	N/A	International Court of Justice	Resolution adopted by consensus and led by the government of Vanuatu to request an advisory opinion by the ICJ on state obligations to address the widespread adverse impacts of climate change and related losses and damages to nature and people.
347	2023	Notre Affaire a Tous Les Amis de la Terre, and Oxfam France v. BNP Paribas	France	Pending	Judicial Court of Paris	Claiming that BNP Paribas violated the Law on the Duty of Vigilance by failing to prepare an adequate plan to combat and limit climate change and human rights violations.
348	2023	Kennedy v. Biden	United States	Pending	District Court for the Western District of Louisiana (Monroe Division)	Class action lawsuit alleging that Biden and his National Climate Advisor violated the First Amendment by inducing social media companies to censor others' protected speech, including speech regarding climate change.
349	2023	Client Earth v. Danone	France	Pending	Paris Judicial Tribunal	Alleging that the global plastic pollution generated by the defendant food product company (Danone) results in substantial ecological and human rights harms (including via its contributions to climate change), in violation of the French duty of vigilance law.
350	2023	Inclusive Development International v. Marsh	OECD	Pending	OECD National Contact Point	Alleging that U.S.-based insurance broker firm Marsh failed to satisfy standards established by the standards the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises in serving as an insurance broker for the construction of EACOP, including by failing to implement adequate safeguards to protect human rights and the environment and by failing to adequately consult communities.
351	2023	Comissão Pastoral da Terra and Notre Affaire a Tous v. BNP Paribas	France	Pending	Judicial Court of Paris	Alleging that BNP Paribas' financing of industrial and business activities responsible for deforestation and human rights violations in the Amazon violates the bank's obligations under the French Duty of Vigilance law.
352	2023	Herd Nature Reserve v. Limpopo Economic Development Agency	South Africa	Pending	High Court of South Africa	Challenging the decision to grant an environmental authorization to an application seeking to establish industrial activities, including metallurgical activities, inside a site in the Musina-Makhado Special Economic Zone (MMSEZ), arguing that the decision violates applicable domestic environmental and administrative law and the potential environmental, including climate, impacts violate constitutionally protected rights.
353	2023	A.S. v. Presidency of Türkiye	Turkey	Dismissed	Council of State	Alleging that the Turkish government's contributions to climate change and failure to act consistently with the goals of the Paris Agreement violates the youth plaintiffs' constitutional and human rights.
354	2023	Atencio v. New Mexico	United States	Pending	First Judicial District Court of New Mexico	Alleging that the state of New Mexico's long-standing permission and facilitation of oil and gas production and pollution, which contributes to the climate emergency, violates the plaintiffs' constitutional rights and constitutes a failure on the part of the state to satisfy their constitutional duties.
355	2023	ClientEarth v. Cargill	OECD	Pending	U.S. National Contact Point	Alleging that Cargill, a global food corporation, has failed to meet human rights and environmental due diligence standards in its soy operations in Brazil, which is linked to climate change-exacerbating deforestation and human rights abuses.
356	2023	Oliphant v. Saskatchewan Power Corporation	Canada	Pending	Court of King's Bench	Alleging that the government-owned electrical utility's expansion of gas-fired electricity violates the plaintiffs' Charter rights.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
357	2023	Greenpeace Mexico v. Energy Regulatory Commission	Mexico	Pending	District Court in Administrative Matters	Challenging regulation that allows certain fossil fuel energy to be considered as clean energy on the basis that the regulation violates the right to a healthy environment.
358	2023	Greenpeace Nordic v. Energy Ministry (The North Sea Fields Case)	Norway	Pending	Oslo District Court	Challenging administrative approval of plans to develop and operate three oil and gas fields on the basis that the environmental impact assessments did not consider Scope 3 emissions from the oil and gas produced, thereby violating the rights to a healthy environment, life, and respect for private and family life.
359	2023	Communications to Saudi Arabia, Japan, France, the U.S., the U.K., and 13 financial institutions concerning Saudi Aramco's business activities in the fossil fuel sector	United Nations	Pending	United Nations Special Rapporteurs	Alleging that state-owned fossil fuel corporation Saudi Aramco is expanding its GHG emitting activities, while misrepresenting information about its emissions, in violation of its responsibility to protect human rights.
360	2023	Communication to the U.K. on the arrest and imprisonment of climate activists	United Nations	Pending	United Nations Special Rapporteurs	Expressing concern that the arrest and imprisonment of Just Stop Oil climate protesters may violate their rights to freedom of expression and peaceful assembly.
361	2023	A Sud v. Italy (on the National Energy and Climate Plan)	United Nations	Dismissed	Aarhus Convention Compliance Committee	Alleging that Italy breached its obligations under the Aarhus Convention by failing to provide adequate and timely information to the public about the government's process of updating the National Energy and Climate Plan.
362	2023	R v. Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs	United Kingdom	Pending	High Court of Justice	Alleging that the Third National Adaptation Programme breaches the plaintiffs' rights to life, home, possessions, and freedom from discrimination by failing to protect them from heatwaves and sea level rise.
363	2023	Nuestros Derechos al Futuro y Medio Ambiente Sano v. Energy Regulatory Commission	Mexico	Pending	District Court in Administrative Matters	Challenging regulation that allows certain fossil fuel energy to be considered as clean energy on the basis that the regulation violates the right to a healthy environment.
364	2023	In re Federal Climate Protection Act Austria	Austria	Dismissed	Constitutional Court	Alleging that the Federal Climate Protection Act violates fundamental rights, including the rights to property, occupation, and privacy, by shifting the burden of emissions reduction to the future and inhibiting compliance with EU and international obligations.
365	2023	Greenpeace Netherlands v. Netherlands	Netherlands	Pending	Hague District Court	Alleging that the Netherlands is violating the plaintiff islanders' rights to life and respect for private and family life by failing to sufficiently mitigate emissions and assist with adaptation to the effects of climate change.
366	2023	Clean Air Council v. Pennsylvania	United States	Pending	Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania	Challenging a law that would allow non-producing oil and gas wells to emit pollutants in violation of the right to a healthy environment and the public trust doctrine.
367	2023	Navigator Heartland Greenway LLC v. Story County	United States	Settled	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Iowa	Challenging a county ordinance that limited a pipeline's route on the basis that the ordinance was preempted by state law and violated the plaintiff's right to equal protection.
368	2023	Genesis B. v. EPA	United States	Pending	U.S. District Court for the Central District of California	Alleging that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) discriminates against young people and violates their rights to a healthy life and stable climate by allowing dangerous levels of GHG pollution.
369	2023	Opinion on the Constitutional Complaints on Constitutionality of Carbon Neutrality Act	South Korea	N/A	National Human Rights Commission	Advising the Constitutional Court that the Carbon Neutrality Act and Enforcement Decree are unconstitutional because they violate the state's duty to protect the fundamental rights current and future generations from the impacts of climate change.
370	2023	Callejas v. Law No 406 (unconstitutionality of mining concession)	Panama	Granted	Supreme Court of Panama	Challenging the constitutionality of the contract for Panama's largest copper mine.
371	2023	Recurso v. Portugal	Portugal	Pending	Lisbon Civil Court	Requesting a declaration that Portugal failed to comply with its obligations to reduce GHG emissions and report on these efforts, thereby violating constitutional and human rights, including the right to a healthy environment.
372	2023	FARN v. Ministry of the Environment and Sustainable Development	Argentina	Pending	Administrative Court of Azul	Requesting an order requiring the government to refrain from approving seismic offshore exploration or offshore drilling until an environmental impact assessment that considers impacts on climate change has been carried out.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
373	2023	Instituto Arayara v. ANP	Argentina	Pending	Federal Court	Challenging the auction of oil exploration blocks on the basis that there was no free, prior, informed consent, thereby violating indigenous people's rights, and that the oil exploration will exacerbate the climate crisis.
374	2023	Comunidad aborigen de Santuario de Tres Pozos v. Jujuy Province	Argentina	Pending	Superior Tribunal of Justice of the Jujuy Province	Challenging the constitutionality of the new Jujuy provincial constitution for failing to protect critical ecosystems and the right to free, prior, and informed consent, among other arguments.
375	2023	Members of Indigenous community "Gran Cumbal" v. SVP Business SAS	Colombia	Granted	Juzgado Tercero Penal del Circuito de Ipiales, Nariño; Juzgado Promiscuo Municipal de Cumbal, Nariño	Alleging that a contract for carbon credits violated the indigenous plaintiffs' rights to free, prior, and informed consultation, active participation in decision making, and self-determination.
376	2023	Opinion on the climate crisis and human rights	South Korea	N/A	National Human Rights Commission	Advising that the state has an obligation to protect people from rights violations caused by the climate crisis, including violations of the rights to life, food, sanitation, health, housing, and education.
377	2023	Steinmetz v. Germany II	Germany	Pending	Federal Constitutional Court	Challenging the federal government's 2023 climate protection plan on the basis that the plan violates the fundamental rights of the plaintiffs by not setting sufficiently ambitious mitigation goals, building on the principle of intertemporal freedom articulated in Neubauer.
378	2023	Min-A Park v. South Korea	South Korea	Pending	Constitutional Court	Challenging the South Korean government's Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) as failing to protect the rights to life, general freedom, the pursuit of happiness, property, and a healthy environment.
379	2023	Trowland v. Rex	United Kingdom	Granted	Court of Appeal (Criminal Division); Crown Court at Basildon	Prosecuting two activists who climbed a bridge and hung a "Just Stop Oil" banner from the bridge. The activists appealed their convictions on the basis that their lengthy prison sentences violated their rights to freedom of expression and assembly.
380	2023	ADI 7438 (environmental protection of the Cerrado biome)	Brazil	Pending	Federal District Federal Court	Alleging that laws weakening environmental protections for the Cerrado biome were passed hastily without public debate or proper legislative procedures, violating constitutional principles, including the right to a balanced environment, and jeopardizing water security, climate efforts, and compliance with the Paris Agreement.
381	2023	Public Defender's Office of the State of Pará v. RMDLT Property Group and others (Project 997 carbon credits and "forest carbon grabbing")	Brazil	Pending	Pará State Court	Alleging that the occurrence of a carbon credits program was unconstitutional in virtue of irregularities in the property registrations, lack of proper authorization from the municipality, the grabbing of public land, the failure to implement a prior technical study, and violations of the rights of indigenous and traditional communities.
382	2023	Public Defender's Office of the State of Pará v. Brazil AGFOR LLC and others (Project 2252 carbon credits and "forest carbon grabbing")	Brazil	Pending	Pará State Court	Alleging that the occurrence of a carbon credits program was unconstitutional in virtue of irregularities in the property registrations, lack of proper authorization from the municipality, the grabbing of public land, the failure to implement a prior technical study, and violations of the rights of indigenous and traditional communities.
383	2023	Public Defender's Office of the State of Pará v. Floyd Promoção e Representação LTDA and others (Project 981 carbon credits and "forest carbon grabbing")	Brazil	Pending	Pará State Court	Alleging that the occurrence of a carbon credits program was unconstitutional in virtue of irregularities in the property registrations, lack of proper authorization from the municipality, the grabbing of public land, the failure to implement a prior technical study, and violations of the rights of indigenous and traditional communities.
384	2023	Public Defender's Office of the State of Pará v. Associação dos Ribeirinhos e Moradores and others (Project 2620 carbon credits and "forest carbon grabbing")	Brazil	Pending	Pará State Court	Alleging that the occurrence of a carbon credits program was unconstitutional in virtue of irregularities in the property registrations, lack of proper authorization from the municipality, the grabbing of public land, the failure to implement a prior technical study, and violations of the rights of indigenous and traditional communities.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
385	2023	ClientEarth v. Shell's Board of Directors	United Kingdom	Dismissed	High Court of Justice	Applying for permission to bring a derivative claim against Shell's directors under section 260 of the Companies Act 2006, focusing on Shell's climate change risk management strategy and its response to the Milieudefensie v Royal Dutch Shell ruling and alleging that the directors breached their duties by failing to set an appropriate emissions target, by not establishing a strategy to manage climate risk aligned with the 1.5°C goal, and by not complying with the Milieudefensie ruling.
386	2023	Friends of the Earth v. Secretary of State for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities; and South Lakeland Action on Climate Change v. SSLUHC	United Kingdom	Pending	High Court of Justice	Challenging the Government's decision to approve a new coking coal mine, arguing that the decision was unlawful due to the misapplication of the "net-zero" claim, failure to address the mine's international climate impact, and errors in assessing emissions.
387	2023	DUH and BUND v. Germany	Germany	Pending	Higher Administrative Court Berlin-Brandenburg	Challenging the government's failure to meet emission targets for the building and transport sectors, arguing that these sectors exceeded the legally permissible CO2 emissions and calling for an emergency program to quickly reduce emissions, ensuring targets are met from 2023 to 2030.
388	2023	Challenging Environmental Impact Assessment law for failing to consider climate change	Colombia	Decided	Constitutional Court	Arguing that Article 57 of Law 99/1993 fails to include climate change and human rights in environmental impact assessments (EIA), thereby violating constitutional rights and international human rights treaties, and seeking a reinterpretation of the law to align with constitutional and international standards.
389	2023	Beijing Grassland Alliance Environmental Protection Center v. Xingyi Shangcheng Power Generation Company Limited	China	Decided	Court of Qianxinan Buyei and Miao Autonomous Prefecture	Suing a power generation company for exceeding pollution limits, falsifying data, and failing to purchase carbon credits; seeking environmental restoration, compensation for damages, payment for over 818,000 tons of unpaid carbon quotas; and energy-saving measures and accountability for excessive CO2 emissions.
390	2023	ADI 7582 (Indigenous Genocide Law)	Brazil	Pending	Federal District Federal Court	Challenging provisions of Federal Law No. 14,701/2023 on the grounds that the law undermines Indigenous peoples' rights, particularly the right to free, prior, and informed consultation, and threatens their territorial and cultural rights.
391	2023	Breakfree action "End fossil finance build just transition" in Geneva, Switzerland	Switzerland	Decided	Court of Appeals in Geneva	Confirming the conviction of activists for unauthorized use of fireworks based on a finding that their actions infringed the rights to freedom of expression and assembly, and rejecting the defendants' proposed defense that the climate crisis constitutes a state of necessity.
392	2023	Government Accountability & Oversight v. Division of Law & Public Safety, Office of the Attorney General	United States	Pending	Superior Court of New Jersey	Seeking to compel the New Jersey Attorney General's office to disclose an unredacted agreement with an outside law firm representing the state in its climate change lawsuit against fossil fuel companies on the grounds that the redactions were unjustified and the public had a right to know the terms of the agreement, given that payment to the firm had been made with public funds.
393	2023	Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance v. U.S. Department of the Interior	United States	Pending	District Court	Suing the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) over oil and gas lease sales on 215,325 acres of Utah public lands, arguing that BLM failed to properly assess the social cost of greenhouse gases and the indirect impacts of the leases.
394	2024	Modatima v. Environmental Assessment Agency (SEA)	Chile	Pending	Servicio de Evaluacion Ambiental	Challenging a resolution which allowed the continuation of the Los Bronces Integrados mining project on the basis that the project violates the human rights, including the right to a healthy environment, by degrading the environment and contributing to climate change.
395	2024	Natural Justice v. Department of Minerals and Energy	South Africa	Pending	The High Court	Alleging that the decision to allow exploratory drilling was based on an improper environmental impact assessment which failed to consider climate change impacts, thereby violating the right to a healthy environment, the South African Constitution, and South African environmental law.
396	2024	VU Climate Change and Sustainability Law Clinic v. One-Dyas	OECD	Pending	The Netherlands National Contact Point	Challenging One-Dyas' planned extraction of fossil fuels in the North Sea on the basis that this extraction will significantly harm human rights and the environment, which the company failed to assess in their environmental impact assessment.

Num ber	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
397	2024	Greenpeace Luxembourg v. Fonds de Compensation de la Sécurité sociale SICAV FIS	OECD	Pending	Luxembourg National Contact Point	Challenging a public pension insurance fund for failing to 1) have a risk-based human rights and due diligence policy, and 2) align with and implement the goals in Paris Agreement.
398	2024	The Philippine Movement for Climate Justice v. Standard Chartered	OECD	Pending	U.K. National Contact Point	Challenging Standard Chartered's financing of coal-fired power plants in the Philippines on the basis that the company failed to conduct due diligence on human rights impacts, including from climate change, and failed to cooperate in the remediation of these harms.
399	2024	Sagoonick v. Alaska II	United States	Pending	Superior Court for the State of Alaska, Third Judicial District	Challenging a liquified natural gas project on the basis that the project violates due process rights and the public trust doctrine.
400	2024	Western New York Youth Climate Council v. New York Department of Transportation	United States	Pending	Supreme Court of New York (trial court)	Challenging government approval of a highway project as a violation of the right to a healthy environment and state law
401	2024	Fridays for Future Estonia v. Environmental Board	Estonia	Pending	Tallinn Administrative Court	Challenging an oil plant permit on the basis that the permit interferes with the achievement of Estonia's emissions reduction targets, violating the right to a healthy environment and rights under the U.N. Convention on the Rights of the Child.
402	2024	Neighbors for a True Oasis v. Village of Port Washington North	United States	Pending	Supreme Court of New York (trial court)	Challenging a village's plan to sell park area on the basis that the sale would violate the public trust doctrine and the plaintiffs' rights to clean, air, water and a healthful environment, including by contributing to climate change.
403	2024	Riders Alliance v. Hochul	United States	Pending	Supreme Court of New York (trial court)	Challenging the decision to halt the implementation of a congestion pricing program that would have imposed tolls on vehicle traffic on the basis that the decision violated New York climate law and the Environmental Rights Amendment.
404	2024	Smith v. Fonterra	New Zealand	Pending	Supreme Court of New Zealand	Filing tort claims against New Zealand's seven largest GHG emitters, arguing their activities constituted public nuisance, negligence, and a novel climate tort, personally affecting the plaintiff as a Māori leader; additionally, contending that the emissions would harm the plaintiff's customary land, cultural sites, fisheries, and health.
405	2024	Greenpeace Netherlands and 8 citizens of Bonaire v. the Netherlands	Netherlands	Pending	District Court North-Holland	Suing the Dutch State for failure to take adequate climate action to protect Bonaire from climate change, arguing that the government's inaction on both mitigation and adaptation measures violates human rights, including the right to life, culture, and protection against discrimination.
406	2024	Milieudefensie v. ING Bank	Netherlands	Pending	-	Issuing a notice of liability to ING Bank for failing to take adequate climate action, arguing that its insufficient climate policies violate the legal duty of care under Dutch law and highlighting ING's failure to set targets for its "scope 3" financed emissions; additionally, demanding that ING require its corporate clients to adopt climate plans, cease financing fossil fuel expansion, and stop supporting clients without good climate plans.
407	2024	Red hands on the Façade of Credit Suisse in Geneva, Switzerland	Switzerland	Pending	Swiss Supreme Court	Prosecuting a climate protester, who claimed grounds of necessity and imminent threat, notwithstanding damage to private property and rights thereto.
408	2024	XR Switzerland – No Going Back & Rebellion Against Extinction	Switzerland	Pending	Swiss Supreme Court	Appealing climate protest -related convictions, with some plaintiffs planning to appeal to the European Court of Human Rights should their convictions stand in the Supreme Court; key legal issues included the impartiality of judges, ECHR rights on assembly and freedom of expression, and the definition of 'coercion.'
409	2024	ZAD de la Colline, Holcim, Switzerland	Switzerland	Pending	Swiss Supreme Court	Opposing the penal orders of several climate activists who had been detained and sentenced to uncondition prison terms of 2-3 months in the course of protesting an open-pit mine.
410	2024	Steinmetz, et al. v. Germany III	Germany	Pending	Federal Constitutional Court	Challenging the reformed German Federal Climate Protection on the grounds that the reform violates the principle of intergenerational freedom and infringes on the right to life and physical integrity.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
411	2024	ADI 7596 (RenovaBio and Unlawful Interference in Economic Activity)	Brazil	Pending	Federal District Federal Court	Challenging as unconstitutional several provisions of Law No. 13,576/2017, which regulates Brazil's National Biofuels Policy (RenovaBio), on the grounds that these provisions discriminate against fossil fuel distributors by requiring them to purchase credits to meet emissions reduction targets, creating unfair financial burdens and violating principles such as equality, environmental protection, and the Paris Agreement.
412	2024	Community Law and Mediation Centre and others v. Ireland	Ireland	Pending	High Court of Ireland	Challenging Ireland's 2024 Climate Action Plan (CAP24) as failing to meet the legal standards set by Ireland's Climate and Low Carbon Development Act 2015 and failing to comply with the country's carbon budget obligations; further claiming that CAP24 undermines effective climate action and violates the constitutional and human rights of individuals, marginalized groups, and future generations.
413	2024	Whitehaven Coal Pty Ltd v. Australian Conservation Foundation & Ors	Australia	Pending	Queensland Land Court	Filing to block Australia's largest proposed coal mine, arguing the project would cause significant environmental and human rights damage, including over 583 million tonnes of climate pollution, the destruction of critical wildlife habitats, especially for endangered species like koalas, and negative impacts to water resources; additionally, arguing the project would worsen methane emissions and harm future generations due to its climate effects.
414	2024	Town of Carrboro v. Duke Energy Corp.	United States	Pending	General Court of Justice, Superior Court Division	Alleging that Duke Energy's deceptive practices and alleged 'greenwashing' exacerbated the climate change crisis and asserting, among other claims, that Duke Energy contributed to the creation of public and private nuisances which have substantially and unreasonably interfered with and are detrimental to the rights of the public at large, including the right to use and enjoy property and the rights to public life, health, safety, emergency management, and safe, unobstructed transportation, among others.
415	2024	Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America v. California Air Resources Board	United States	Pending	District Court	Challenging California's 2023 climate disclosure laws on the grounds that, inter alia, the laws violate the First Amendment's free speech rights and protections by forcing companies to express speculative, political, and/or noncommercial messages.
416	2024	Alabama v. California	United States	Pending	Supreme Court of the United States	Asking the Supreme Court to enjoin the climate lawsuits brought by five states against energy companies, arguing that the lawsuits threaten federalism, exceed state authority, and violate the Commerce Clause by imposing undue liability on energy companies.
417	2024	Oregon Natural Desert Association v. U.S. Department of the Air Force	United States	Pending	District Court	Challenging the U.S. Air Force's expansion of military aircraft training over the Owyhee Canyonlands on the basis of alleged violations of NEPA and the Administrative Procedure Act and failure to adequately assess environmental impacts (including the effects of climate change on the rights of citizens), consider alternatives, and provide proper notice and public participation.
418	2024	East Side Parkways Coalition v. New York State Department of Transportation	United States	Pending	Supreme Court of the State of New York	Challenging the NYS Route 33 Kensington Expressway Project in Buffalo, New York on the grounds that the New York State Department of Transportation violated the state's Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act (CLCPA), environmental quality review laws, and the New York Constitution's Green Amendment; specifically, claiming that NYSDOT failed to account for significant construction-related greenhouse gas emissions, did not provide justification for the project's failure to meet emissions reduction targets, and that the project would disproportionately burden local disadvantaged communities.
419	2024	Center for Biological Diversity v. Criswell	United States	Pending	District Court	Seeking to compel FEMA to define "resilient" and "resiliency" as mandated by the 2018 Disaster Recovery Reform Act on the basis that FEMA's failure to conduct the required rulemaking delayed efforts to ensure recovery and mitigation projects align with resilience goals, including reducing climate change impacts and promoting renewable energy.

Num ber	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
420	2024	Kentucky v. EPA	United States	Pending	Court of Appeals	Challenging the Environmental Protection Agency's vehicle emission standards for 2027-2032, arguing the rule exceeded EPA's authority.
421	2024	Lyall v. Elsevier Inc.	United States	Pending	District Court	Accusing a former employer of greenwashing, claiming the corporation misled the public about its climate commitments while supporting fossil fuel expansion.
422	2024	Center for Biological Diversity v. Department of Housing & Urban Development	United States	Pending	District Court	Seeking records on the department's funding of fossil fuel projects versus renewable energy alternatives, energy transition efforts, and funding for fossil fuel infrastructure, and invoking the right to public information.
423	2024	Wrigley v. EPA	United States	Pending	District Court	Seeking documents from the EPA on its role in advancing the Biden administration's 'climate agenda,' particularly rules targeting coal plants, and alleging that the EPA unlawfully used regulatory authorities to impose costs on coal plants unrelated to greenhouse gas emissions.
424	2024	City of Chicago v. BP p.l.c.	United States	Pending	Circuit Court of Cook County	Alleging that the fossil fuel industry engaged in a decades-long "deception campaign" about the impact of fossil fuels on climate change and claiming this misinformation inflated the market for fossil fuels, driving greenhouse gas emissions, accelerating global warming, and causing severe climate-related harm to Chicago, such as extreme weather, flooding, and shoreline erosion.
425	2024	Conservation Law Foundation v. Maine Department of Environmental Protection	United States	Pending	Superior Court	Urging the court to compel Maine to adopt rules to meet the state's 2019 climate law, arguing that insufficient regulations were in place, particularly for transportation emissions.
426	2024	Gyani v. Lululemon Athletica Inc.	United States	Pending	District Court	Accusing Lululemon of greenwashing, claiming the company misled consumers about its environmental efforts.
427	2024	Bucks County v. BP p.l.c.	United States	Pending	Supreme Court of Pennsylvania	Accusing fossil fuel companies and the American Petroleum Institute of a long-running disinformation campaign about climate change, alleging the defendants concealed the harms of fossil fuels while protecting their investments, delaying the transition to alternative energy and exacerbating climate impacts including increased storms, flooding, and extreme heat
428	2024	Environmental Working Group v. Tyson Foods, Inc.	United States	Pending	Superior Court of the District of Columbia, Civil Division	Claiming the corporation made false claims about achieving net-zero emissions and producing "climate-smart beef," arguing Tyson's beef production is highly carbon-intensive and that the company has no plan to meet its emissions goals.
429	2024	Estado Libre Asociado de Puerto Rico v. Exxon Mobil Corp.	United States	Pending	Puerto Rico Court of First Instance	Alleging that fossil fuel companies misled the public about the impacts of greenhouse gas emissions, contributing to climate change and delaying the transition to cleaner energy; seeking compensatory and punitive damages, equitable relief, and other remedies for public nuisance, negligence, and deceptive trade practices.
430	2024	Conservation Law Foundation, Inc. v. National Grid USA	United States	Pending	District Court	Alleging that a utility corporation's leaking gas pipeline system violates federal and state laws, claiming the leaks pose risks of explosions, fires, and environmental harm, including by exacerbating the urban heat island effect.
431	2024	Center for Biological Diversity v. Haaland	United States	Pending	District Court	Alleging violations of NEPA and the Administrative Procedure Act in managing offshore oil and gas decommissioning in the Gulf of Mexico, claiming that outdated assessments failed to account for new risks from idle infrastructure, including methane emissions and oil spill risks.
432	2024	North Dakota v. U.S. Department of Interior	United States	Pending	District Court	Challenging the Bureau of Land Management's "Conservation and Landscape Health" rule on the grounds that it improperly prioritized conservation over resource development, violating the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) by elevating conservation as a "use" and conflicting with state resource plans; additionally, claiming violations of the Congressional Review Act, NEPA, and the Mineral Leasing Act.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
433	2024	Deep South Center for Environmental Justice v. EPA	United States	Pending	Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit	Challenging the EPA's approval of Louisiana's application to take over enforcement of the underground injection control (UIC) program, which allows Louisiana to issue permits and ensure compliance for geologic carbon sequestration facilities using Class VI wells.
434	2024	Healthy Gulf v. Secretary, Louisiana Department of Natural Resources	United States	Pending	District Court	Alleging that the permit for a methane gas pipeline supporting an LNG export terminal failed to properly assess the environmental and climate change-related impacts, arguing that the decision was arbitrary and violated constitutional and statutory provisions.
435	2024	People v. Exxon Mobil Corp.	United States	Pending	Superior Court of the State of California	Alleging ExxonMobil caused plastic pollution and misled consumers about the benefits of "advanced recycling" for greenhouse gas reductions.
436	2024	Liberty Energy Inc. v. Securities & Exchange Commission	United States	Pending	District Court	Challenging the Securities and Exchange Commission's climate disclosure rule as overbroad, unauthorized, and as requiring the "detailed (and wildly speculative)" disclosure of certain climate- and greenhouse gas-related risks.
437	2024	California Solar Energy Industries Association v. Contractors State License Board	United States	Pending	Superior Court of the State of California	Challenging a rule prohibiting solar contractors from working on battery storage systems, alleging that it violated California law and could result in adverse climate impacts, including increased greenhouse gas emissions and conflicts with state climate goals.
438	2024	Friends of the Earth v. Granholm	United States	Pending	District Court	Challenging the U.S. Department of Energy's \$1 billion funding to prevent the closure of Diablo Canyon nuclear plant, arguing that the DOE relied on outdated environmental analyses and failed to address climate change impacts, including risks to ocean temperatures, aquatic wildlife, and plant safety.
439	2024	Texas v. BlackRock, Inc.	United States	Pending	District Court	Alleging antitrust violations and claiming that three institutional investors colluded to reduce coal output by using their shareholdings to pressure coal producers; specifically, arguing that the investors, including BlackRock, used collective power and initiatives like the Net Zero Asset Managers Initiative to restrict coal production, violating antitrust laws and deceiving shareholders.
440	2024	Standing Trees, Inc. v. U.S. Forest Service	United States	Pending	District Court	Challenging logging projects in the White Mountain National Forest, alleging that the U.S. Forest Service violated NEPA and the National Forest Management Act by failing to properly assess climate impacts and ignoring best available science.
441	2024	Healthy Gulf v. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management	United States	Pending	District Court	Asking a federal court to order the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) to update its outdated 2020 air quality rule for offshore oil and gas operations, alleging the rule retained ineffective 1980s regulations and violated the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act and the Administrative Procedure Act.
442	2024	Hirth v. Iowa Utilities Commission	United States	Pending	District Court	Challenging the Utilities Commission's approval of a 688-mile carbon dioxide pipeline, arguing it violated the Iowa Administrative Procedure Act, due process rights, and the justifications for eminent domain.
443	2024	American Sustainable Business Council v. Hegar	United States	Pending	District Court	Challenging Texas Senate Bill 13, which restricts state investments and contracts with companies that "boycott fossil fuels," arguing that the law infringes on First and Fourteenth Amendment rights, including freedom of speech, association, and access to the courts, by imposing vague provisions and discouraging legal challenges.
444	2024	American Farm Bureau Federation v. U.S. Department of the Interior	United States	Pending	District Court	Challenging the Bureau of Land Management's (BLM) "Conservation and Landscape Health" rule, arguing the rule exceeded BLM's authority, violated procedural requirements, and unlawfully cited climate change impacts without adequate justification.
445	2024	Notre Affaire à Tous v. France	European Union	Pending	European Commission	Urging infringement proceedings against France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, and Sweden for the States' failure to meet their obligations under EU law regarding National Energy and Climate Plans (NECPs), arguing that these countries' plans are insufficient and lack effective measures to meet EU climate targets.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
446	2024	Observatório do Clima vs. IBAMA and the National Department of Infrastructure and Transportation (Licensing of Highway BR-319)	Brazil	Pending	Amazonas Federal Court	Challenging the issuance of Preliminary License 672/2022, arguing that the paving of a related thoroughfare would drive deforestation and harm the Amazon; further claiming the license was granted without a climate impact study or consultation with indigenous peoples and affected communities, violating legal requirements.
447	2024	Instituto Arayara vs. Copel, Instituto Água e Terra and others (UTE Figueira)	Brazil	Pending	Paraná Federal Court	Alleging illegal operations and environmental harms — including unlawful emissions, unlicensed expansion, and environmental degradation — resulting from the Figueira Thermoelectric Plant.
448	2024	Kim Min et al. v. Kim Tae-Hyun et al.	South Korea	Pending	Seoul District Court	Alleging failure by the National Pension Service to address climate-related risks in pension fund management, particularly by not implementing a coal phase-out policy announced in 2021.
449	2024	Senior Citizens v. Korea	South Korea	Pending	National Human Rights Commission	Arguing that weak climate policies violate the plaintiffs' constitutional rights to life and happiness due to health impacts like heat exposure and air pollution.
450	2024	Finnish Association for Nature Conservation and others v. Finland	Finland	Pending	Supreme Administrative Court	Claiming that the Finnish government's inadequate climate policies violate the rights of the Sámi people, arguing specifically that the government's failure to consider the Sámi's traditional way of life in climate and land-use policies breaches both Finnish law and human rights protections, including those outlined in Finland's 2022 Climate Act.
451	2024	Instituto Arayara v. Estado do Rio Grande do Sul (Just Energy Transition on Rio Grande do Sul State)	Brazil	Pending	Rio Grande do Sul Federal Court	Arguing that the government's fossil fuel-powered thermoelectric sector is inefficient, environmentally damaging, and contributes to climate change.
452	2024	EPA286-24 Rolleston Coal Holdings Pty Ltd v. Environmental Advocacy of Central Queensland Inc.	Australia	Pending	Queensland Land Court	Objecting to an application by the world's second-largest coal company (Glencore) to expand the Rolleston Coal Mine, which would clear 600 hectares, extend the mine's life until 2040, and significantly increase carbon emissions.
453	2024	Federal Public Prosecutor's Office and ANAB v. Federal Government and others (Structural litigation over climate disaster in Rio Grande do Sul)	Brazil	Pending	Rio Grande do Sul Federal Court	Filing a Civil Public Action against the federal government and local authorities over inadequate disaster response to extreme weather events in Rio Grande do Sul, calling for coordinated climate adaptation measures, improved flood control, and sustainable urban planning to build resilience and protect vulnerable communities.
454	2024	Youth Climate Case Japan for Tomorrow	Japan	Pending	Nagoya District Court	Arguing that 10 thermal power companies' current CO2 emission reduction plans are insufficient to meet the 1.5°C climate target, rely on unproven technologies, and infringe on the youth plaintiffs' fundamental rights.
455	2024	German Federation for the Environment and Nature Conservation (BUND) and the German Solar Energy Promotion Association (SFV) v. Germany	Germany	Pending	Federal Constitutional Court in Karlsruhe	Arguing that the weakened Climate Protection Act fails to meet the 1.5°C global warming limit and makes it harder to achieve even weak climate targets, disregarding scientific findings despite extreme weather events that highlight the urgency for stronger action.
456	2024	Greenpeace and Germanwatch with individual plaintiffs v. Germany	Germany	Pending	Constitutional Court	Alleging that Germany's emission reduction targets are inadequate, that the Climate Law as amended is unconstitutional because it impermissibly delays action on climate, and calling for transport sector reforms and an effective Climate Protection Act to ensure that Germany fulfills its fair share obligations in compliance with the Paris Agreement and to ensure that basic civil liberties and rights are safeguarded.
457	2024	Deutsche Umwelthilfe alongside eleven adolescents and young adults v. Germany	Germany	Pending	Constitutional Court	Challenging the amended Climate Protection Act as unconstitutional on the grounds that it fails to take sufficiently ambitious climate action.
458	2024	South Sumatran citizens and South Sumatra Smoke Suit Initiative v. Pulpwood Firms (PT Bumi Mekar Hijau (BMH), PT Bumi Andalas Permai (BAP) and PT Sebangun Bumi Andalas Wood Industries (SBA Wood Industries))	Indonesia	Pending	District Court in Palembang	Seeking liability and compensation from the three largest pulp and paper suppliers in Indonesia for their contributions to toxic haze, climate change-hastening deforestation and burning of carbon-rich landscapes, and harms to families and individuals including respiratory problems, mental health issues, loss of culture and religion, and damaged livelihoods.

Number	Year Filed	Case Name	Jurisdiction	Status	Court Name	Issue
459	2024	Leaders of the Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians v. United States	United States	Pending	District Court	Challenging the U.S. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management's approval of two offshore wind energy areas off Oregon's coast, arguing that decision violates federal laws protecting environmentally and culturally significant sites located in the tribes' ancestral territories.
460	2024	Environmental Rights Foundation v. Taiwan	Taiwan	Pending	Constitutional Court	Arguing that the 2023 Climate Change Response Act is insufficient on the grounds that the government's target of a 23-25% emissions reduction by 2030 fails to protect the plaintiffs' fundamental rights and doesn't ensure net zero by 2050.
461	2024	California v. Exxon Mobil	United States	Pending	Superior Court	Alleging that ExxonMobil has engaged in a decades-long campaign of deception to deliberately mislead the public regarding the risks of plastic use and the efficacy of recycling processes, endangering, inter alia, public trust resources — such as California's waterways and marine reserves — and related rights.
462	2024	Exxon v. Netherlands	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes	Pending	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes	Alleging that the Dutch government arbitrarily disadvantaged ExxonMobil as an investor by deciding to shut down gas-producing operations at the Groningen gas field.
463	2024	Bull Mountains Land Alliance, Northern Plains Resource Council, and the Montana Environmental Information Center (represented by Earthjustice) v. Montana DEQ	United States	Pending	Yellowstone County District Court	Challenging the approval of the Bull Mountains Coal Mine expansion, claiming the project failed to consult with affected tribal communities and that the environmental review failed to assess key impacts on water and water rights, wildlife, agriculture, and climate.
464	2024	Global Legal Action Network (GLAN) v. European Union	European Union	Pending	Court of Justice of the European Union	Arguing the EU's failure to regulate gas imports with high methane emissions violates human rights obligations.
465	2024	State of Maine v. BP and others	United States	Pending	Superior Court	Accusing carbon majors of deceiving consumers regarding the impacts and risks of fossil fuels and their relation to climate change and thereby endangering public rights and Maine citizens' interests in property, health, safety, peace, and livelihood.
466	2024	Friends of the Earth US and the Articulation of Indigenous Peoples of Brazil v. BlackRock	OECD	Pending	U.S. National Contact Point for the OECD Guidelines	Accusing BlackRock of violating the OECD Guidelines by investing over \$5 billion in agribusinesses linked to environmental and human rights abuses despite knowing about issues like deforestation, labor abuses, land grabbing, violence against human rights defenders, and violations of the rights of indigenous peoples.
467	2024	Greenpeace and Uplift v. Shell and Equinor	United Kingdom	Pending	Scottish Court of Session	Arguing for the revocation of North Sea oil fields Rosebank and Jackdaw, held and operated respectively by Equinor and Shell, following the concession that permits for the fields were initially authorized unlawfully.